

---

## Self-organization and pattern formation

### Sheet 6

---

## Exercise 17 – Linear Stability of the Keller–Segel Model

Consider the Keller–Segel model for chemotaxis, which you have seen in the lecture. This model describes a coarse-grained density of living cells which produce a chemical they are themselves attracted towards (a *chemoattractant*). The chemoattractant can decay over time, but the total number of cells is assumed to be constant. Both the cells (density  $\rho(x, t)$ ) and the chemical (concentration  $c(x, t)$ ) can diffuse in space, with diffusion constants  $D$  and  $D_c$  respectively, leading to the following set of equations:

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, t)}{\partial t} = D \nabla (\nabla \rho(x, t) - \chi(c) \rho(x, t) \nabla c(x, t)), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial c(x, t)}{\partial t} = \alpha(\rho) - \lambda c(x, t) + D_c \nabla^2 c(x, t), \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi(c)$  describes the chemotactic sensitivity,  $\alpha(\rho)$  is the chemoattractant production rate and  $\lambda$  is the chemoattractant decay rate. In contrast to what you have already seen in the lecture, we want to discuss different sensitivity and production models in this exercise.

Thus, we set

$$\chi(c) = \frac{\chi_0}{1 + c/\tilde{c}}, \quad \alpha(\rho) = \alpha_0 \frac{\rho}{1 + \beta \rho}. \quad (3)$$

**a)** Explain the structure of the terms  $\chi(c)$  and  $\alpha(\rho)$  and the meaning of all constants. Why are these terms sensible assumptions in biological systems?

**b)** Show that the system admits a spatially homogeneous steady state

$$\rho(x, t) = \rho_0, \quad c(x, t) = c_0, \quad (4)$$

and calculate the relation that has to hold between  $\rho_0$  and  $c_0$ .

**c)** Perform a linear stability analysis of the homogeneous steady state. For simplicity we assume  $\tilde{c} = c_0$  for all following exercise parts. Consider small perturbations:

$$\rho(x, t) = \rho_0 + \delta \rho(x, t), \quad c(x, t) = c_0 + \delta c(x, t), \quad (5)$$

and linearize the equations to first order in  $\delta \rho$  and  $\delta c$ .

d)

Assume solutions of the form

$$\delta\rho(x, t) \sim e^{\sigma t} e^{ikx}, \delta c(x, t) \sim e^{\sigma t} e^{ikx} \quad (6)$$

and derive the dispersion relation  $\sigma(k)$ .

- e) Determine the condition under which the homogeneous state becomes linearly unstable. That is, find the values of  $\rho_0$  and  $\chi_0$  (in terms of other parameters) for which  $\text{Re}(\sigma(k)) > 0$  for some  $k \neq 0$ . Plot and interpret your dispersion relation.
- f) Discuss the physical interpretation of this instability in terms of chemotactic aggregation. And compare this result to a linear production and sensitivity (see lecture notes).

## Exercise 18 – Active Model B and Pseudopotentials

*Note that this exercise counts as two exercises (2P) since it is quite lengthy.*

Active matter equations contain terms which cannot be obtained minimizing any free energy, as the system is dissipating energy. The consequence is that a stationary state in active matter does not correspond to some minimum of a free energy, and finding its explicit form can be challenging.

However, it is sometimes possible to introduce pseudo-thermodynamical variables, which allows us to use the well-known tools for the thermodynamical case despite the lack of any physical correspondence. In this exercise, you will see how to introduce pseudo-variables for active model B and active model B+ and calculate the value of the binodal densities for active model B+.

We consider a density  $\phi$  that follows the dynamics of active model B+. The dynamic equation for  $\phi$  consists of an equilibrium flux derived from a free energy functional  $\mathcal{F}$  and an additional active contribution

$$\partial_t \phi = -\nabla \cdot J, \quad (7)$$

$$J = -\nabla \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \phi} + \lambda (\nabla \phi)^2 \right] + \xi (\nabla^2 \phi) \nabla \phi, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \int d^d x f(\phi) + \frac{K}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2. \quad (9)$$

- a) How are the equations for active model B (AMB) and passive model B related to active model B+ (AMB+) (in D-dimensions)?
- b) Argue why the current in active model B+ cannot be written in terms of a total derivative for dimension greater than one. Argue how this allows for the existence of active currents in the steady state. How are the equations for active model B and active model B+ related in 1D?

First, we consider a phase separated system into a low  $\phi(\infty) = \phi_-$  and high density  $\phi(-\infty) = \phi_+$  phase with a flat interface so we can consider the 1-dimensional equations.

**c)** We start by revisiting the tools for the passive phase separating system so we can later apply this to our active system. For a passive system showing phase separation (passive model B), the two thermodynamical variables that have to remain constant across a stationary interface are the pressure  $P = \mu\phi - f(\phi)$ , ensuring mechanical equilibrium, and the chemical potential  $\mu$ , ensuring that there is no particle flux across the interface. Show that in 1D (flat interface) these two variable are constant in passive model B.

*Hint: To show that the pressure is constant, multiply the chemical potential  $\mu = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\phi}$  by  $\partial_x\phi$  and then integrate it over space.*

**d)** Show that the nonequilibrium chemical potential

$$\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\phi} + \lambda(\nabla\phi)^2 \quad (10)$$

also remains constant across a stationary and flat interface in active model B (AMB) (1D), while the pressure  $P$  is not constant.

**e)** To generalize the concept of mechanical equilibrium in active model B, we introduce a pseudo-density  $\psi$  and pseudo-potential  $g(\psi)$  which obey the following relations:

$$\frac{df(\phi)}{d\phi} = \frac{dg(\psi)}{d\psi}, \quad K\partial_\phi^2\psi = -2\lambda\partial_\phi\psi \quad (11)$$

Show that with this change of variables, the pseudo-pressure  $\tilde{P} = \mu\psi - g(\psi)$  is constant across the interface.

*Hint: Show first that*

$$\partial_x\psi (K\partial_x^2\phi - \lambda(\partial_x\phi)^2) = \partial_x \left( \frac{K\partial_\phi\psi}{2} (\partial_x\phi)^2 \right). \quad (12)$$

*Then multiply equation 10 by  $\partial_x\psi$  and then integrate it over space as you did in part a).*

**f)** Use your result from (b) and (e) to define the relations for the pseudopotential for active model B+ in 1D.

**g)** \* *This exercise is voluntary but demonstrates the idea nicely.\**

We want to use the derived conditions for the pseudopotential and the nonequilibrium chemical potential to numerically determine the binodals for active model B+. We assume that the free energy functional is given by

$$F[\phi] = \int d^d x \left( -\frac{A}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{A}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{K}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 \right), \quad (13)$$

with  $A = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $K = 1$ .

Determine the values for  $\phi_{\pm}$  following the provided mathematica notebook.

In the last parts of this exercise we consider a curved interface and calculate the corrections to the previously derived condition for the pseudopotential for active model B+ (Remember the Laplace pressure for a curved interface in passive model B). For this we consider a high density droplet  $\phi(r = 0) = \phi_+$  of radius  $R$  in a low density environment  $\phi(r = \infty) = \phi_-$ . Remember from exercise (b) that the current in active model B+ can not be written in terms of a total derivative in dimensions greater than one. Thus, deriving the nonequilibrium potential  $\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}+}$  for dimensions greater than 1 is a little more involved.

**h)** In order to derive the nonequilibrium chemical potential we use the Helmholtz decomposition of a vector to rewrite the current into a gradient and curl contribution:  $J = -\nabla\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}+} + \nabla \times A$ . For this, calculate  $\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}+}$  for the case of a spherical symmetric droplet. Show that the term proportional to  $\xi$  introduces a non-locality into the nonequilibrium chemical potential. Argue why the dynamics of  $\phi$  is governed only by the chemical potential  $\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}+}$  and not by the curl  $A$ .

*Hint: Interpret the problem in analogy to electrostatics, where the current  $J$  is the electric field  $E$ ,  $\nabla \cdot E$  the electric charge, while  $\mu$  is the electric potential  $V$ . The corresponding equations of electrostatics are equivalent:  $E = -\nabla V$ . For a spherically symmetric configuration of the charge, the electric potential  $V$  can be calculated as follows*

$$V(r) = - \int_r^{\infty} ds E(s). \quad (14)$$

Your result for the chemical potential should read

$$\mu_{\text{neq}}^{\text{AMB}+}(r) = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} + \left(\lambda - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)(\nabla\phi)^2 + \xi(d-1) \int_r^{\infty} dr' \frac{(\partial_{r'}\phi(r'))^2}{r'}. \quad (15)$$

**i)** Using the nonequilibrium chemical potential derived in the previous exercise, find the relations that the pseudo-pressure (use the definition of the pseudo-potential derived in (f)) and non-equilibrium chemical potential satisfy at a stationary curved interface.

Your result for the pseudo-pressure relation should read

$$\tilde{P}(\phi_+) = \tilde{P}(\phi_-) + \frac{(d-1)}{R} \sigma_{\text{neq}} \quad (16)$$

with the nonequilibrium tension

$$\sigma_{\text{neq}} = \frac{K}{\xi - 2\lambda} \left( \xi e^{\frac{\xi-2\lambda}{K}\phi(0)} \int_0^{\infty} dr (\partial_r \phi)^2 - 2\lambda \int_0^{\infty} dr (\partial_r \phi)^2 e^{\frac{\xi-2\lambda}{K}\phi(r)} \right). \quad (17)$$

*Proceed as follows:*

1) *Similar as in (e): multiply eq. 15 by  $\partial_r \psi$  and integrate over the radius. Use spherically symmetric coordinates.*

*Note that the hint from (e) can also be used here when replacing  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda - \frac{\xi}{2}$*

$$\partial_r \psi \left( K \partial_r^2 \phi - \left(\lambda - \frac{\xi}{2}\right) (\partial_r \phi)^2 \right) = \partial_r \left( \frac{K \partial_r \psi}{2} (\partial_r \phi)^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

3) Use a sharp interface approximation

$$\int_r^\infty ds (\partial_s \phi(s))^2 f(s) \approx \Theta(R-r) f(R) \int_0^\infty ds (\partial_s \phi(s))^2 \quad (19)$$

where  $\Theta(R-r)$  is the Heaviside theta.

4) Insert the value of  $\psi(\phi)$ , found by solving the equation for the pseudovariables

$$\psi(\phi) = \frac{K}{\xi - 2\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{\xi - 2\lambda}{K}\phi\right). \quad (20)$$

j) Can the derived nonequilibrium tension  $\sigma_{\text{neq}}$  become negative? What does this imply for the radial dynamics of the droplet?

*Hint: We can use the result known from the equilibrium theory (LSW) and simply replace the equilibrium tension  $\sigma_{\text{eq}}$  with its non-equilibrium value  $\sigma_{\text{neq}}$*

$$\partial_t R \propto \frac{\sigma_{\text{neq}}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{R^*} - \frac{1}{R} \right). \quad (21)$$

Your solutions should be handed in in moodle by **Wednesday, December 3<sup>rd</sup> 2025, 10 am.**