

# Review and recent results in double field theory

Olaf Hohm

Based on work with:

- C. Hull, B. Zwiebach [2010]
- S. Ki Kwak, B. Zwiebach [2010-2011]
- D. Lüst, B. Zwiebach, [2012-present]

Munich, March 2012

## What is Double Field Theory?

Reformulation (Extension?) of spacetime action for massless string fields:

$$S_{\text{NS}} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H^{ijk} H_{ijk} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha' R^{ijkl} R_{ijkl} + \dots \right]$$

generalized metric and doubled coordinates  $X^M = (\tilde{x}_i, x^i)$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{ij} & -g^{ik} b_{kj} \\ b_{ik} g^{kj} & g_{ij} - b_{ik} g^{kl} b_{lj} \end{pmatrix} \in O(D, D)$$

DFT Action (dilaton density  $e^{-2d} = e^{-2\phi} \sqrt{-g}$ ):

$$S_{\text{DFT}} = \int d^{2D} X e^{-2d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}, d) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}^i=0} S_{\text{NS}}|_{\alpha'=0}$$

generalized curvature scalar

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} \equiv & 4 \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N d - \partial_M \partial_N \mathcal{H}^{MN} - 4 \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M d \partial_N d + 4 \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_N d \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{KL} \partial_N \mathcal{H}_{KL} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{H}^{KL} \partial_K \mathcal{H}_{NL} \end{aligned}$$

# Plan of the talk:

## Part I

- Gauge transformations and generalized Lie derivatives
- Generalized coordinate transformations and generalized manifolds
- The role of  $O(D, D)$

## Part II

- Supersymmetric and Heterotic extensions
- Type II Strings

## Part III

- Beyond the strong constraint?
- Massive type IIA
- Generalized Scherk-Schwarz compactification/gauged supergravity
- Conclusions and Outlook

# Part I: Gauge transformations and generalized Lie derivatives

Recall g.c.t. from GR:

$$g'_{ij}(x') = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^i} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial x'^j} g_{kl}(x)$$

Infinitesimally,  $x'^i = x^i - \xi^i(x)$ , governed by Lie derivatives

$$\delta_\xi g_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ij} \equiv \xi^k \partial_k g_{ij} + \partial_i \xi^k g_{kj} + \partial_j \xi^k g_{ik}$$

In DFT gauge invariance governed by generalized Lie derivatives

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi \mathcal{H}_{MN} = \xi^P \partial_P \mathcal{H}_{MN} + (\partial_M \xi^P - \partial^P \xi_M) \mathcal{H}_{PN} + (\partial_N \xi^P - \partial^P \xi_N) \mathcal{H}_{MP}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi (e^{-2d}) = \partial_M (\xi^M e^{-2d})$$

Invariance and closure,  $[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi_1}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\xi_2}] = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]_C}$ , modulo strong constraint

$$\eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N = 2\tilde{\partial}^i \partial_i = 0 \quad \eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Generalized coordinate transformations ?

Generalized g.c.t. that reproduce this infinitesimally:

$$S'(X') = S(X) \quad A'_M(X') = \mathcal{F}_M{}^N A_N(X)$$

and analogously on higher tensors, where [O.H., Zwiebach, 1207.4198]

$$\mathcal{F}_M{}^N \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial X^P}{\partial X'^M} \frac{\partial X'_P}{\partial X^N} + \frac{\partial X'_M}{\partial X^P} \frac{\partial X^N}{\partial X'^P} \right)$$

Setting  $X'^M = X^M - \xi^M(X)$  we get  $\delta_\xi = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi$ .

- $\eta'_{MN} = \eta_{MN} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \in O(D, D)$ , but not gauged  $O(D, D)$  !
- $x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x)$ ,  $\tilde{x}'_i = \tilde{x}_i$  leads to usual g.c.t.,  
 $\tilde{x}'_i = \tilde{x}_i - \tilde{\xi}_i(x)$ ,  $x^{i'} = x^i$  leads to  $b_{ij} \rightarrow b_{ij} + \partial_i \tilde{\xi}_j - \partial_j \tilde{\xi}_i$
- composition according to BCH of C-bracket

# Generalized manifold

Generalized coordinate transformations  $\Rightarrow$  generalized manifold  
(‘patched together’ by generalized coordinate transformations)

- similar to idea of T-fold (patching by  $O(d, d)$ )

[Hellerman, McGreevy, Williams, hep-th/0208174; Hull, hep-th/0406102]

- however, different in general:

$$A'(X') = \mathcal{F}A(X), \quad \text{with} \quad X'^M \neq \mathcal{F}^M_N X^N \quad \text{in general}$$

- in presence of abelian isometries (torus)

$\Rightarrow$  fields independent of coordinates  $x^i, i = 1, \dots, d < D$

$\Rightarrow$  full  $O(d, d)$  particular generalized g.c.t.:

on top of geometric subgroup  $GL(d) \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}d(d-1)}$  also ‘hidden’ part

$$x'^i = x^i + \frac{1}{2}f^{ij}\tilde{x}_j \quad \rightarrow \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in O(d, d)$$

[differs from ‘naive’ ansatz by  $\frac{1}{2}$ , but  $A'(X') = A'(X) = hA(X)$ ]

## What's the role of $O(D, D)$ ?

usual expectation:

- T-duality group  $O(d, d)$  only present for torus
- $2D$ -dimensional manifold with metric  $\eta_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  very special  
⇒ preferred coordinates

Thus: DFT tied to torus-like backgrounds? No, because

- doubled ‘manifold’ generalized  $\rightarrow \eta_{MN}$  constant in all local charts
- usual g.c.t. of  $D$ -dim. submanifold is subgroup of generalized g.c.t.  
⇒ no constraints on ‘physical’  $D$ -dimensional space

Non-trivial examples beyond T-folds? Not yet clear [in progress with Lüst, Zwiebach]

Analogy with general relativity

$$S = \int dx \sqrt{-g} R = \int dx \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{4} g^{ik} g^{jl} g^{pq} \partial_p g_{ij} \partial_q g_{kl} + \text{more terms} \right)$$

manifestly  $GL(D, \mathbb{R})$  invariant, but proper symmetry diffeomorphism group;  
 $GL(d)$  reappears, e.g., as rigid symmetry on  $T^d$ ; so does  $O(d, d)$  in DFT

## Part II: Supersymmetric and Heterotic Extensions

(Generalized) vielbein formalism required [Siegel (1993), O.H. & Ki Kwak (2010)]

$$\mathcal{H}^{MN} = \hat{\eta}^{AB} E_A^M E_B^N, \quad \hat{\eta}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \end{pmatrix}$$

local  $SO(1, 9)_L \times SO(1, 9)_R$  Lorentz symmetry

Gauge fixing to diagonal subgroup

$$E_A^M = \begin{pmatrix} E_{ai} & E_a^i \\ E_{\bar{a}i} & E_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_{ia} + b_{ij} e_a^j & e_a^i \\ -e_{i\bar{a}} + b_{ij} e_{\bar{a}}^j & e_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Fermions: singlets under  $O(10, 10)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\xi$

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram, 1112.3989; O.H., S. Ki Kwak, 1111.7293]

- $\Psi_a$  : vector of  $SO(1, 9)_L$ , spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$
- $\rho$  : spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$ ,
- $\epsilon$  : spinor of  $SO(1, 9)_R$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-2d} \left( \mathcal{R}(E, d) - \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Psi_a + \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \rho + 2 \bar{\Psi}^a \nabla_a \rho \right)$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry transformations

$$E_{\bar{b}}^M \delta_\epsilon E_{aM} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{\bar{b}} \Psi_a \quad \delta_\epsilon d = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \quad \delta_\epsilon \Psi_a = \nabla_a \epsilon \quad \delta_\epsilon \rho = \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \epsilon$$

Proof of supersymmetric invariance: variation of bosonic term

$$e^{2d} \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \mathcal{R} + \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \Psi^a \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}}$$

variation of fermionic terms

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2d} \delta_\epsilon \mathcal{L}_F &= -2 \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \nabla_a \epsilon + 2 \bar{\rho} \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} (\gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \epsilon) + 2 \nabla^a \bar{\epsilon} \nabla_a \rho + 2 \bar{\Psi}^a \nabla_a (\gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \epsilon) \\ &= -2 \bar{\Psi}^a \left[ \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}}, \nabla_a \right] \epsilon + 2 \bar{\rho} \left( \gamma^{\bar{a}} \nabla_{\bar{a}} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} - \nabla^a \nabla_a \right) \epsilon \\ &= \bar{\Psi}^a \gamma^{\bar{b}} \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}} \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \mathcal{R} \epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \rho \mathcal{R} - \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\bar{b}} \Psi^a \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{b}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus:  $\delta_\epsilon (S_B + S_F) = 0$

Add abelian vector multiplets:  $SO(1, 9+n) \times SO(1, 9) \subset O(10+n, 10)$

( $n = 16$ : heterotic string truncated to Cartan of  $E_8 \times E_8$  or  $SO(32)$ )

Frame field:  $A = (a, \bar{a}) = (\underline{a}, \underline{\alpha}, \bar{a})$ ,  $\underline{a} = 0, \dots, 9$ ,  $\underline{\alpha} = 1, \dots, n$

$$E_A^M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e_{i\underline{a}} - e_{\underline{a}}^k c_{ki} & -e_{\underline{a}}^k A_k^\beta & e_{\underline{a}}^i \\ \sqrt{2} A_{i\underline{\alpha}} & \sqrt{2} \delta_{\underline{\alpha}}^\beta & 0 \\ -e_{i\bar{a}} - e_{\bar{a}}^k c_{ki} & -e_{\bar{a}}^k A_k^\beta & e_{\bar{a}}^i \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $c_{ij} = b_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} A_i^\alpha A_{j\alpha}$

Additional gauginos  $\chi_\alpha$  encoded in

$$\Psi_a = (\Psi_{\underline{a}}, \Psi_{\underline{\alpha}}) \equiv (e_{\underline{a}}^i \Psi_i, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi_{\underline{\alpha}})$$

Formally same Lagrangian and supersymmetry variations as above!

→ reduces to standard action and SUSY rules setting  $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$

## Comparison: standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity action

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = \int d^{10}x e e^{-2\phi} & \left[ \left( R + 4\partial^i \phi \partial_i \phi - \frac{1}{12} \hat{H}^{ijk} \hat{H}_{ijk} - \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \right) \right. \\
 & - \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{ijk} D_j \psi_k - 2\bar{\lambda} \gamma^i D_i \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^\alpha \not{D} \chi_\alpha \\
 & + 2\bar{\psi}^i (\partial_i \phi) \gamma^j \psi_j - \bar{\psi}_i (\not{\partial} \phi) \gamma^i \lambda - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\chi}_\alpha \gamma^i \gamma^{jk} F_{jk}{}^\alpha (\psi_i + \frac{1}{6} \gamma_i \lambda) \\
 & + \frac{1}{24} \hat{H}_{ijk} \left( \bar{\psi}_m \gamma^{mijkn} \psi_n + 6\bar{\psi}^i \gamma^j \psi^k - 2\bar{\psi}_m \gamma^{ijk} \gamma^m \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}^\alpha \gamma^{ijk} \chi_\alpha \right) \\
 & \left. + \text{quartic fermions} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{ijk} = 3 \left( \partial_{[i} b_{jk]} - A_{[i}{}^\alpha \partial_j A_{k]\alpha} \right)$$

## Comparison: standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry rules

$$\delta_\epsilon e_i^a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma^a \psi_i - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\epsilon} \lambda e_i^a ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \phi = -\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \quad , \quad \delta_\epsilon A_i^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_i \chi^\alpha \quad ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \chi^\alpha = -\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{ij} F_{ij}^\alpha \epsilon$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \psi_i = D_i \epsilon - \frac{1}{8} \gamma_i (\not{\partial} \phi) \epsilon + \frac{1}{96} (\gamma_i^{klm} - 9 \delta_i^k \gamma^{lm}) \hat{H}_{klm} \epsilon ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \lambda = -\frac{1}{4} (\not{\partial} \phi) \epsilon + \frac{1}{48} \gamma^{ijk} \hat{H}_{ijk} \epsilon ,$$

$$\delta_\epsilon b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\epsilon} \gamma_i \psi_j - \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_j \psi_i) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{ij} \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon} \gamma_{[i} \chi^\alpha A_{j]\alpha} .$$

## Type II Double Field Theory

NS-NS: dilaton  $d$ , lift of  $\mathcal{H} \in O(10, 10)$  to  $\mathbb{S} \in Spin(10, 10)$

RR: Majorana-Weyl spinor  $\chi$  of  $O(10, 10)$

Action:

$$S = \int dx d\tilde{x} \left( e^{-2d} \mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{4} (\not{\partial} \chi)^\dagger \mathbb{S} \not{\partial} \chi \right)$$

Dirac operator in terms of raising and lowering operators  $\psi_i, \tilde{\psi}^i$  of  $O(10, 10)$

$$\not{\partial} \equiv \psi^i \partial_i + \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\partial}^i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \not{\partial}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N = 0$$

(Self-)duality constraint ( $C$ : charge conjugation matrix)

$$\not{\partial} \chi = -\mathcal{K} \not{\partial} \chi \quad \mathcal{K} \equiv C^{-1} \mathbb{S}$$

Reduces to democratic type IIA (or IIB) supergravity for  $\tilde{\partial}^i = 0$ ,  
where conventional RR p-forms  $C^{(p)}$  encoded as

$$\chi = \sum_p \frac{1}{p!} C_{i_1 \dots i_p} \psi^{i_1} \dots \psi^{i_p} |0\rangle$$

Curiously: encodes also exotic type IIA\* and IIB\* [Hull, hep-th/9806146]

## Part III: Can the strong constraint be relaxed?

Absolutely, if we take the full closed string theory into account

⇒ closed SFT on torus is truly doubled, subject only to (weak)

level-matching constraint  $\tilde{\partial}^i \partial_i = 0$  ( $p \cdot w = 0$ ) [Kugo & Zwiebach, hep-th/9201040]

⇒ in full string theory doubled coordinates undoubtedly physical

More interesting question:

Can the strong constraint be relaxed on massless string fields only?

Subtle: consistent gauge variation  $\delta_\xi$  requires  $\tilde{\partial}^i \partial_i (\delta_\xi \Phi) = 0$ , so

$$\delta_\xi \Phi = \xi \cdot \Phi \quad \rightarrow \quad \delta_\xi \Phi = \left[ \xi \cdot \Phi \right]$$

where  $[ \ ]$  projects out Fourier modes with  $p \cdot w \neq 0$  ⇒ non-locality

gauge algebra and invariance involved [partial results with Hull and Zwiebach]

However: more mild relaxations in massive and gauged deformations

# Massive Type IIA: Romans theory

Massive type IIA obtained for

$$C^{(1)}(x, \tilde{x}) = C_i(x)dx^i + m\tilde{x}_1 dx^1$$

Ansatz consistent because gauge transformations can be re-written

$$\delta_\xi \chi = \xi \not{\partial} \chi$$

so that linear  $\tilde{x}$  dependence drops out.

General field strengths

$$F = \not{\partial} \chi = (\psi^i \partial_i + \psi_i \tilde{\partial}^i) \chi = F_{m=0} + \psi_i \tilde{\partial}^i (m\tilde{x}_1) \psi^1 |0\rangle$$

lead to non-trivial 0-form field strength

$$F^{(0)} = m$$

$\Rightarrow$  ‘(-1)-form’  $\equiv$  1-form depending on  $\tilde{x}$  [Lavrinenko, Lu, Pope, Stelle (1999)]

$\Rightarrow$  Type II DFT reduces to (democratic formulation of) massive Type IIA

## Generalized Scherk-Schwarz compactification

Scherk-Schwarz Reduction of DFT in generalized metric form.

[Aldazabal, Baron, Marques & Nunez; Geissbuhler (2011)]

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x, \mathbb{Y}) = U^A{}_M(\mathbb{Y}) \mathcal{H}_{AB}(x) U^B{}_N(\mathbb{Y}), \quad U \in O(D, D)$$

Flux components in lower-dimensional (4D) theory directly given by

$$F_{ABC} = 3\eta_D[A(U^{-1})^M{}_B(U^{-1})^N{}_C] \partial_M U^D{}_N$$

[see also: Andriot, O.H., Larfors, Lüst, Patalong & Blumenhagen, Deser, Plauschinn, Rennecke]

yields gauged supergravities with ‘non-geometric fluxes’

however, not all gaugings obtained because of strong constraint

⇒ relaxation of strong constraint? [Grana & Marques (2012)]

Intriguing first steps, but complete picture still elusive

# Summary & Outlook

## Most conservatively:

- Strong constraint solved by

$$\partial_M = \begin{cases} \partial_i & \text{if } M = i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} .$$

but technically,  $\partial_i$ ,  $g$ ,  $b$  and  $\phi$  never used!

- (very economic!) *reformulation* of low-energy action for string theory  
⇒ geometry can be thought of as ‘generalized geometry’ [Hitchin, Gualtieri]  
(to the extent it had been developed)

## Concrete reasons for more:

- Full closed string field theory *is* a truly doubled field theory
- mild relaxations of strong constraint possible  
→ massive IIA & gauged supergravity

## Parallel developments:

- U-duality invariant versions of (truncated) M-theory  
[Hillmann, Berman & Perry, Coimbra et. al.]  
so far not valid for full 11-dimensional supergravity
- Generalized Scherk-Schwarz reductions in M-theory versions  
[Berman, Musaev & Thompson]

## Main open problem:

- Encoding higher-derivative  $\alpha'$  corrections [ Riem<sup>2</sup> ]
- almost certainly requires extension of framework [O.H. & Zwiebach (2011)]  
→  $\alpha'$  corrections of T-duality rules and/or gauge transformations?
- indeed, gauge structure in CSFT  $\alpha'$ -corrected