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## Future Gravitational Wave Detectors



ligo india
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## Theoretical need for improved templates

1. conservative dynamics
2. radiation reaction force ${ }_{\text {nspiral }}$ 3. (resummed) waveform

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d r}{d t}=\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{\mathrm{EOB}}}{\partial p_{r_{*}}}, \\
\frac{d p_{r_{*}}}{d t}=-\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{\mathrm{EOB}}}{\partial r}, \\
\Omega \equiv \frac{d \varphi}{d t}=\frac{\partial \hat{H}_{\mathrm{EOB}}}{\partial p_{\varphi}}, \\
\frac{d p_{\varphi}}{d t}=\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\varphi} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\ell m} \equiv h_{\ell m}^{(N, \epsilon)} \hat{h}_{\ell m}^{(\epsilon)} \hat{h}_{\ell m}^{\mathrm{NQC}} \\
& \hat{h}_{\ell m}^{(\epsilon)}=\hat{S}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{(\epsilon)} T_{\ell m} e^{i \delta_{\ell m}} \rho_{\ell m}^{\ell} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{\varphi} \equiv-\frac{1}{8 \pi \Omega} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\ell_{\max }} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell}(m \Omega)^{2}\left|R h_{\ell m}^{(\epsilon)}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Tools used for the GR 2-body pb

Post-Newtonian (PN) approximation (expansion in 1/c; ie v^2/c^2 and GM/(c^2r))
Post-Minkowskian (PM) approximation (expansion in G; ie in GM/(c^2b)) and its recent Worldline EFT avatars

Multipolar post-Minkowskian (MPM) approximation theory to the GW emission of binary systems

Matched Asymptotic Expansions useful both for the motion of strongly self-gravitating bodies, and for the nearzone-wavezone matching

Gravitational Self-Force (SF): expansion in $\mathrm{m} 1 / \mathrm{m} 2$, with « first law of BH mechanics » (LeTiec-Blanchet-Whiting'12,...)

Effective One-Body (EOB) Approach
Numerical Relativity (NR)

## Effective Field Theory (EFT)

Quantum scattering amplitude aided by Double-Copy, Generalized Unitarity, « Feynman-integral Calculus » (IBP, DE, regions, reverse unitarity, ...), Kosower-Maybee-O'Connell

+ Worldline QFT
Tutti Frutti method


# New Angle of Attack on Two-Body Dynamics: Classical and/or Quantum Two-Body Scattering 

TD 2016, 2017:

# Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation, and effective-one-body theory 

High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem
A technique for translating the classical scattering function of two gravitationally interacting bodies into a corresponding (effective one-body) Hamiltonian description has been recently introduced [Phys. Rev. D 94, 104015 (2016)]. Using this technique, we derive, for the first time, to second-order in Newton's constant (i.e. one classical loop) the Hamiltonian of two point masses having an arbitrary (possibly relativistic) relative velocity. The resulting (second post-Minkowskian) Hamiltonian is found to have a tame high-energy structure which we relate both to gravitational self-force studies of large mass-ratio binary systems, and to the ultra high-energy quantum scattering results of Amati, Ciafaloni and Veneziano. We derive several consequences of our second post-Minkowskian Hamiltonian: (i) the need to use special
one-loop G^2
two-loop
$\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 4$ phase-space gauges to get a tame high-energy limit; and (ii) predictions about a (rest-mass independent) linear Regge trajectory behavior of high-angular-momenta, high-energy circular orbits. Ways of testing these predictions by dedicated numerical simulations are indicated. We finally indicate a way to connect our classical results to the quantum gravitational scattering amplitude of two particles, and we urge amplitude experts to use their novel techniques to compute the two-loop scattering amplitude of scalar masses, from which one could deduce the third post-Minkowskian effective one-body Hamiltonian.

## Cheung-Rothstein-Solon 2018

## From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion


#### Abstract

We combine tools from effective field theory and generalized unitarity to construct a map between onshell scattering amplitudes and the classical potential for interacting spinless particles. For general relativity, we obtain analytic expressions for the classical potential of a binary black hole system at second order in the gravitational constant and all orders in velocity. Our results exactly match all known results up to fourth post-Newtonian order, and offer a simple check of future higher order calculations. By design, these methods should extend to higher orders in perturbation theory.


## Quantum Scattering Amplitudes and 2-body Dynamics


b
b
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ig. 3. The "H" diagram that provides the leading correction to the eikonal.

- Quantum Scattering Amplitudes —> Potential one-graviton exchange :
Corinaldesi '56 '71,
Barker-Gupta-Haracz 66,
Barker-O'Connell 70, Hiida-Okamura72
Nonlinear: Iwasaki 71 [First post-Newtonian approx.], Okamura-Ohta-Kimura-Hiida 73[2 PN]


## Amati-Ciafaloni-Veneziano 1987-2008

 Ultra-High-Energy (s >> M_Planck^2) Four-graviton Scattering at 2 loopsEikonal phase \delta in $\mathrm{D}=4$ confirmed by with one- and two-loop corrections using the Regge-Gribov approach

$$
\delta=\frac{G s}{\hbar}\left(\log \left(\frac{L_{I R}}{b}\right)+\frac{6 \ell_{s}^{2}}{\pi b^{2}}+\frac{2 G^{2} s}{b^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2 i}{\pi} \log (\cdots)\right)\right)
$$

Modern techniques for amplitudes (generalized unitarity; double copy; method of regions; IBPs; differential eqs; Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Carrasco, Johansson, Cachazo et al., Bjerrum-Bohr et al., Cachazo-Guevara,...) can be used (Damour '17CheungRothsteinSolon'18) to improve the classical 2-body dynamics: need a quantum/classical dictionary.

## Classical scattering perturbation theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x_{a}^{\mu}}{d \sigma_{a}}=g^{\mu \nu}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a \nu}, \\
& \frac{d p_{a \mu}}{d \sigma_{a}}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a a} p_{a \beta} . \\
& R^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} R g^{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T^{\mu \nu} \\
& T^{\mu \nu}(x)=\sum_{\cdots} \int d \sigma_{a} p_{a}^{\mu} p_{a}^{\nu} \frac{\delta^{4}\left(x-x_{a}\left(\sigma_{a}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{a}} \\
& \Delta p_{a \mu}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \sigma_{a} \frac{d p_{a \mu}}{d \sigma_{a}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \sigma_{a} \partial_{\mu} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a \alpha} p_{a \beta} . \\
& \Delta p_{1 \mu}=2 G \int d \sigma_{1} d \sigma_{2} p_{1 \alpha} p_{1 \beta} \\
& \times \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha \beta ; \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)-x_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\right) p_{2 \alpha^{\prime}} p_{2 \beta^{\prime}} \\
& p^{\alpha \beta \beta: a^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}}(x-y)=\left(\eta^{3 u^{\prime}} \eta^{\beta \beta^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \eta^{\mu^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right) \mathcal{G}(x-y) \\
& \text { gravitational } \\
& \text { propagator } \\
& \text { Approach initiated long ago: Rosenblum'78 Westpfahl'79,'85 Portilla'80 Bel et al.'81 } \\
& \text { limited by the technical difficulty of computing the integrals beyond } G^{\wedge} 2 \text {, ie at } \mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 2=2 \text {-loop. } \\
& \text { Recently developed to compete with quantum-scattering approach: } \\
& \text { Kalin-Porto, Porto et al, Plefka et al, Dlapa-Kalin-Liu-Porto,... }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Effective One-Body (EOB) approach: H + Rad-Reac Force

Historically rooted in QM: Brezin-Itzykson-ZinnJustin'70
eikonal scattering amplitude+ Wheeler's:'Think quantum mechanically' Real 2-body system (in the c.o.m. frame)


An effective particle of mass mu in some ettective metric

$$
0=g_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu \nu}(X) P_{\mu} P_{\nu}+\mu^{2}+Q(X, P)
$$

Level correspondence in the semi-classical limit: Bohr-Sommerfeld -> identification of quantized action variables $J=\ell \hbar=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint p_{\varphi} d \varphi$
$N=n \hbar=I_{r}+J$
$I_{r}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint p_{r} d r$


$$
\mu=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}
$$

1:1 map


## mass-shell constraint

## Crucial energy map

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}=\frac{\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {real }}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}}{2\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} .
$$

## Gravitational Scattering and the GR 2-body problem

Beyond the PN approximation: (Possibly) High-energy Classical Scattering: Post-Minkowskian (PM) approximation: expansion in $\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ keeping all orders in v/c

Original EOB dictionary based on bound states New (equivalent) dictionary for scattering state: applicable to the PM approximation (no restriction on v/c, [Damour2016]

$$
\chi_{\text {eff }}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}, J\right)=\chi_{\text {real }}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {real }}, J\right)
$$

could recently exploit 'old' results by Bel-Martin '75-'81, Portilla '79,Westpfahl-Goller '79, Portilla '80, Bel-Damour-Deruelle-Ibanez-Martin'81,Westpfahl '85 to compute some pieces of the EOB dynamics to all orders in $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text {class }}(E, J)=\frac{1}{j} \chi_{1}\left(\hat{E}_{\text {eff }}, \nu\right)+\frac{1}{j^{2}} \chi_{2}\left(\hat{E}_{\text {eff }}, \nu\right)+O\left(G^{3}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2} \chi_{1 P M}^{\text {real }}=2 \frac{G}{b p_{\text {c.m. }}^{\prime}} \frac{p_{1}^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\beta} P_{\alpha \beta ; \beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} p_{2}^{\alpha}}^{\mathcal{D}} p_{2}^{\beta^{\prime}}}{p_{1}^{\prime}} \\
& p_{2} \frac{G}{J} \frac{p_{1}^{\alpha} p_{1}^{\beta} p_{\alpha \beta ; \alpha \beta^{\prime}} p_{2}^{\alpha^{\prime}} p_{2}^{\beta^{\prime}}}{\mathcal{D}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{2}=\left|p_{1} \wedge p_{2}\right|^{2} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{\mu} p_{2}^{\nu}-p_{1}^{\nu} p_{2}^{\mu}\right)\left(p_{1 \mu} p_{2 \nu}-p_{1 \nu} p_{2 \mu}\right) \\
& =\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi_{1 P M}^{\mathrm{real}}=\frac{G}{J} \frac{2\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi_{1 P M}^{\text {real }}=\frac{G}{J} \frac{2\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}} .
$$

## New results already at the 1PM order (linear in G)

Derivation of EOB energy map to all orders in $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ :

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}=\frac{\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {real }}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}}{2\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}
$$

$$
d s_{\mathrm{lin}}^{2}=-\left(1-2 \frac{G M}{r}\right) d t^{2}+\left(1+2 \frac{G M}{r}\right) d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega^{2}
$$

to order $\mathrm{G}^{1}$, the relativistic dynamics of a two-body system (of masses $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}$ ) is equivalent to the relativistic dynamics of an effective test particle of mass $\mu=m_{1} m_{2} /\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$ moving in a Schwarzschild metric of mass $M=$ $\mathrm{m} 1+\mathrm{m} 2$, i.e. the rather complicated 1PM Hamiltonian of Ledvinka-Schaefer-Bicak2010: with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{\operatorname{lin}}=\sum_{a} \bar{m}_{a}+\frac{1}{4} G \sum_{a, b \neq a} \frac{1}{r_{a b}}\left(7 \mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}+\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{a b}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{a b}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} G \sum_{a, b \neq a} \frac{\bar{m}_{a} \bar{m}_{b}}{r_{a b}} \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{p_{a}^{2}}{\bar{m}_{a}^{2}}+\frac{p_{b}^{2}}{\bar{m}_{b}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4} G \sum_{a, b \neq a} \frac{1}{r_{a b}} \frac{\left(\bar{m}_{a} \bar{m}_{b}\right)^{-1}}{\left(y_{b a}+1\right)^{2} y_{b a}}\left[2 \left(2\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right) \mathbf{p}_{b}^{2}+\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2} \mathbf{p}_{b}^{4}-\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)^{2} \mathbf{p}_{b}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{\bar{m}_{b}^{2}}+2\left[-\mathbf{p}_{a}^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}+2\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)+\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2} \mathbf{p}_{b}^{2}\right] \\
& +\left[-3 \mathbf{p}_{a}^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)^{2}+8\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{b a}\right)\left(\mathbf{p}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)\right. \\
& +y_{b a}^{2}=\frac{1}{\bar{m}} \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{b}^{2}+\left(\mathbf{n}_{b a} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{b}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{m}_{a}=\left(m_{a}^{2}+\mathbf{p}_{a}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

## Classical Gravitational Scattering at the 2PM level (one-loop)

Damour'18, using Westpfahl-Goller '79, Bel-Damour-Deruelle-lbanez-Martin'81,Westpfahl '85
$G^{\wedge} 2$


$$
\frac{1}{n} \chi_{\mathrm{class}}(E, J)=\frac{1}{\square} \chi_{1}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)+\frac{1}{j^{2}} \chi_{2}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)+O\left(G^{3}\right)
$$

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)=\frac{2 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}-1}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}-1}}
$$

$$
\chi_{2}\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)=\frac{3 \pi}{8} \frac{5 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}-1}{\sqrt{1+2 \nu\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}-1\right)}}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mu}=\gamma=-\frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {eff }} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}}{\mu} \equiv \frac{\left(E_{\text {real }}\right)^{<}-m_{1}^{L}-m_{2}^{L}}{2 m_{1} m_{2}}=\frac{s-m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}}{2 m_{1} m_{2}} .
$$

Effective EOB Hamiltonian transcription of chi2PM as a post-Schwarzschild Hamiltonian

$$
0=g_{\text {Schwarz }}^{\mu \nu} P_{\mu} P_{\nu}+Q(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P})->\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, J\right)-\chi^{\mathrm{Schw}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, J\right)\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \int d \sigma_{(0)} Q+O\left(G^{4}\right)
$$

gauge-freedom $\quad Q^{\prime}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P})=Q(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P})+\frac{d}{d \sigma_{(0)}} G(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}) \quad$ use an « energy gauge "

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P})=\frac{G^{2} M^{2}}{R^{2}} q_{2}(\gamma, \nu)+O\left(G^{3}\right) \\
q_{2}(\gamma, \nu)=\frac{3}{2}\left(5 \gamma^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{h(\gamma, \nu)}\right) \\
h(\gamma, \nu)=\sqrt{1+2 \nu(\gamma-1)}
\end{array}
$$

## Simple Map: ConservativeScattering angle <-> EOB dynamics

scattering angle, and its expansion in:

$$
\frac{1}{j}=\frac{G m_{1} m_{2}}{J}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi=\Phi\left(E_{\text {real }}, J ; m_{1}, m_{2}, G\right)
$$

TD'16-18
Bini-TD-Geralico'20

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text {class }}(E, J)=\frac{1}{j} \chi_{1}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)+\frac{1}{j^{2}} \chi_{2}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)+O\left(G^{3}\right)
$$

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \nu\right)=\frac{2 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}-1}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}-1}}
$$

$$
0=g_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu \nu} P_{\mu} P_{\nu}+\mu^{2}+Q
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{2}\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {eff }}, \nu\right)=\frac{3 \pi}{8} \frac{5 \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {eff }}^{2}-1}{\sqrt{1+2 \nu\left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {eff }}-1\right)}} \\
& \text { Westpfahl' } 85
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
g_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu \nu}
$$

Westpfahl'85
$g_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu \nu}$

| Schwarzschild |
| :---: |
| metric $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m} 1+\mathrm{m} 2$ |

$$
Q=\left(\frac{G M}{R}\right)^{2} q_{2}(E)+\left(\frac{G M}{R}\right)^{3} q_{3}(E)+O\left(G^{4}\right.
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}=\frac{\left(\mathcal{E}_{\text {real }}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}}{2\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \text {. }
$$

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\text {eff }}}{\mu}=\gamma=-\frac{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2}(\gamma, \nu)= & \frac{3}{2}\left(5 \gamma^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{h(\gamma, \nu)}\right) \\
& h(\gamma, \nu)=\sqrt{1+2 \nu(\gamma-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Application to the ACV eikonal scattering phase (massless or ultra-relativistic scattering)

Amati-Ciafaloni-Veneziano'90+ Ciafaloni-Colferai'14+ Bern et al'20+ DiVecchia et al'20

$$
\delta^{\text {eikonal }}=\frac{1}{\hbar}\left(\delta^{\mathrm{R}}+i \delta^{\mathrm{I}}\right)+\text { quantum corr. }
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi^{\text {eikonal }}=2 \frac{\gamma}{j}+\frac{16}{3} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{j^{3}}+\cdots
$$

valid in the HE limit gamma-> infty

Using the chi $->$ Q dictionary this corresponds to the HE limits:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q_{2}^{\mathrm{HE}}=\frac{15}{2} \gamma^{2} \\
q_{3}^{\mathrm{HE}}=\gamma^{2}
\end{array}
$$

i.e. an HE limit for the EOB mass-shell condition (TD'18)

$$
0=g_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu \nu}(X) P_{\mu} P_{\nu}+\mu^{2}+Q(X, P)
$$

$$
0=g_{\mathrm{Schw}}^{\mu \nu} P_{\mu} P_{\nu}+\left(\frac{15}{2}\left(\frac{G M}{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{G M}{R}\right)^{3}\right) P_{0}^{2}
$$

## Translating quantum scattering amplitudes into classical dynamical information (1)

The domain of validity of the Born-Feynman expansion
$\mathcal{M}(s, t)=\mathcal{M}^{\left(\frac{G}{\bar{n}}\right)}(s, t)+\mathcal{M}^{\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}\right)}(s, t)+\cdots: \quad \mathcal{M}^{(G)}(s, t)=16 \pi \frac{G}{\hbar} \frac{2\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)^{2}-p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}{-t}$.
is

$$
\frac{G s}{\hbar v} \sim \frac{G E_{1} E_{2}}{\hbar v} \ll 1
$$

while the domain of validity of classical scattering is (Bohr 1948)

$$
\frac{G s}{\hbar v} \sim \frac{G E_{1} E_{2}}{\hbar v} \gg 1
$$

Amati-Ciafaloni-Veneziano faced this issue by assuming eikonalization in b space

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|}
\qquad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(s, b)=\int \frac{d^{D-2} q}{(2 \pi)^{D-2}} \frac{\mathcal{A}\left(s, q^{2}\right)}{4 p E} e^{-i b \cdot q} & 1+i \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(s, b)=(1+2 i \Delta(s, b)) e^{2 i \delta(s, b)} & \\
i \frac{\mathcal{A}\left(s, Q^{2}\right)}{4 p E}=\int d^{D-2} b\left(e^{2 i \delta(s, b)}-1\right) e^{i b \cdot Q} & 2 \delta(s, b)=\frac{\Delta S_{r}(s, J)}{\hbar} \\
\text { classical phase } \\
\text { assical momentum transfer: } & Q^{\mu}=-\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} 2 \delta(s, b)}{\partial b^{\mu}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { subtracted radial } \\
\text { action of potential } \\
\text { scattering }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Translating quantum scattering amplitudes into classical dynamical information (2)

Damour'17: EOB potential Q(R,E) or W(R,E)
Cheung-Rothstein-Solon'18, Bern et al'19
different EFT potential $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P}^{\wedge} 2\right)$ and methods for
taking the classical limit at the integrand level, and extracting the «classical part» of the scattering amplitude

## EOB

$Q^{F}\left(u, \mathcal{E}_{e f}\right)=u^{2} q_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ef}}\right)+u^{3} q_{3}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{eff}}\right)+u^{4} q_{1}^{F}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ef}}\right)+O\left(G^{5}\right)$
$w\left(r, p_{\infty}\right)=\frac{w_{1}(\gamma)}{r}+\frac{w_{2}(\gamma)}{r^{2}}+\frac{w_{3}(\gamma)}{r^{3}}+\frac{w_{1}(\gamma)}{r^{4}}+\cdots$

EFT
$H(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{X})=\sqrt{m_{1}^{2}+\mathbf{P}^{2}}+\sqrt{m_{2}^{2}+\mathbf{P}^{2}}+V\left(R, \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$
$V\left(R, \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=G \frac{c_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}^{2}\right)}{R}+G^{2} \frac{c_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)}{R^{2}}+G^{3} \frac{c_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)}{R^{3}}+$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { non- } & -\widehat{\hbar}^{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \psi(\mathbf{x})=\left[p_{\infty}^{2}+\frac{w_{1}}{r}+\frac{w_{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{w_{3}}{r^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{r^{4}}\right)\right] \psi(\mathbf{x}) \\
\text { lativistic }
\end{array}
$$

potential
scattering! $\mathcal{M}_{\text {classical }}^{Q F T}=\frac{8 \pi G s}{\hbar} f^{E O B}=\mathcal{M}^{E F T}$

# Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order 
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We present the amplitude for classical scattering of gravitationally interacting massive stalars at thind post-Minkowskian order. Our approach harnesses powerful tools from the modern amplitudes program such as generalized unitarity and the double-copy construction, which relates gravity integrands to simpler gauge-theory expressions. Adapting methocs for integration and matching from effective field theory, we extract the conservative Hamiltonian for compact spinless binaries at third post-Minkowskian order. The resulting Hamiltonian is in complete agreement with corresponding terms in state-of-the-art expressions at fourth post-Newtonian order as well as the probe limit at all orders in velocity. We also derive the scattering angle at third post-Minkowskian order and find agreement with known results.
the eight
2-loop diagrams contributing to the $O\left(G^{\wedge} 3 / r^{\wedge} 3\right)$ classical potential

(1)

(5)

(2)

(6)

(3)

(7)

(4)

(8)

$$
\mathcal{M}_{3}=\frac{\pi G^{3} \nu^{2} m^{4} \log q^{2}}{6 \gamma^{2} \xi}\left[3-6 \nu+206 \nu \sigma-54 \sigma^{2}+108 \nu \sigma^{2}\right.
$$

$$
+4 \nu \sigma^{3}-\frac{48 \nu\left(3+12 \sigma^{2}-4 \sigma^{4}\right) \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{18 \nu \gamma\left(1-2 \sigma^{2}\right)\left(1-5 \sigma^{2}\right)}{(1+\gamma)(1+\sigma)}\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{8 \pi^{3} G^{3} \nu^{4} m^{6}}{\gamma^{4} \xi}\left[3 \gamma\left(1-2 \sigma^{2}\right)\left(1-5 \sigma^{2}\right){ }^{2}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-32 m^{2} \nu^{2}\left(1-2 \sigma^{2}\right)^{3} F_{2}\right], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3PM computation (Bern-Cheung-Roiban-Shen-Solon-Zeng'19)

using a combination of techniques: generalized unitarity; BCJ double-copy; 2-loop amplitude of quasi-classical diagrams; EFT transcription (Cheung-Rothstein-Solon'18); resummation of PN-expanded integrals for potential-gravitons

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{3}^{\text {cons }}= \chi_{3}^{\text {Schw }}-\frac{2 \nu \sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}}{h^{2}(\gamma, \nu)} \bar{C}^{\text {cons }}(\gamma) \\
& q_{3}^{\text {cons }}= \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(2 \gamma^{2}-1\right)\left(5 \gamma^{2}-1\right)^{\wedge}}{\gamma^{2}-1}\left(\frac{1}{h(\gamma, \nu)}-1\right)+\frac{2 \nu}{h^{2}(\gamma, \nu)} \bar{C}^{\text {cons }}(\gamma) \\
& \bar{C}^{\text {cons }}(\gamma)=\frac{2}{3} \gamma\left(14 \gamma^{2}+25\right) \\
& \quad h(\gamma, \nu) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{s}}{h /}=\sqrt{1+2 \nu(\gamma-1)} \\
& 2\left(4 \gamma^{4}-12 \gamma^{2}-3\right) \frac{\mathcal{A}(v)}{\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}} \quad \mathcal{A}(v) \equiv \operatorname{arctanh}(v)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+v}{1-v}=2 \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma-1}{2} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

puzzling HE limits when compared to ACV and Akcay et al'12

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \chi^{\text {cons }}=2 \frac{\gamma}{j}+(12-8 \ln (2 \gamma)) \frac{\gamma^{3}}{j^{3}}+O\left(G^{4}\right) \\
& q_{3}^{\text {cons }} \approx+8 \ln (2 \gamma) \gamma^{2} \quad \text { instead of } \quad q_{3}^{\mathrm{ACV}} \approx+1 \gamma^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

confirmations: 5PN (Bini-TD-Geralico'19); 6PN (Blümlein-Maier-Marquard-Schäfer'20,

## Comparison of 3PM Hamiltonian to NR energetics

Antonelli et al. '19

| arbits to merger |
| :---: |
| fractional |
| binding energy |
| versus |
| angular |
| frequency |

The main current interest of gravitational scattering ${ }^{G M \Omega}$ results is conceptual, rather than directly practical

## Conservative and Radiative Aspects of the Dynamics

selected by Bern's integration 2PM method of regions

1PM
$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{a} \approx G\left(1+\frac{1}{c^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{4}}+\frac{1}{c^{6}}+\frac{1}{c^{8}}+\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right)+$

$$
+G^{2}\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{4}}+\frac{1}{c^{5}}+\frac{1}{c^{6}}+\frac{1}{c^{7}}+\frac{1}{c^{8}}+\frac{1}{c^{9}}+\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right)+
$$

$$
\text { 1PN }+G^{3}\left(\frac{1}{c^{4}}+\frac{1}{c^{5}}+\frac{1}{c^{6}}+\frac{1}{c^{7}}+\frac{1}{c^{8}}+\frac{1}{c^{9}}+\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right)+
$$

$$
\text { 2PN 2.5PN }+G^{4}\left(\frac{1}{c^{6}}+\frac{1}{c^{7}}+\frac{1}{c^{8}}+\frac{1}{c^{9}}+\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right)+
$$

$$
+G^{5}\left(\frac{1}{c^{8}}+\frac{1}{c^{9}}+\frac{1}{c^{10}}\right)+
$$

Black: time-even and conservative
Red: time-odd and dissipative
Blue: nonlocal-in-time but decomposable in conservative and dissipative
Purple: ambiguous in various ways ??
$+G^{6} \frac{1}{c^{10}}$
4PN
(G^4,G^5)
separation well-defined F_radreac^2!

## Conservative vs Radiation-reacted Classical Gravitational Scattering



Radiation-reaction effects enter scattering at G^3/c^5 (Bini-TD'12)

$$
\frac{1}{2} \chi^{\mathrm{rad}}=+\frac{8 G^{3}}{5 c^{5}} \frac{m_{1}^{3} m_{2}^{3}}{J^{3}} \nu v^{2}+\cdots
$$

Radiation-reaction effects in scattering play a crucial role at high-energy
(DiVecchia-Heissenberg-Russo-Veneziano'20, TD'21, Hermann-Parra-Martinez-Ruf-Zeng'21,....) they resolve the puzzle of the discrepancy between the HE limit of Amati-Ciafaloni-Veneziano'90(+ Ciafaloni-Colferai'14), and the G^3 result of Bern et al'19,20

# Universality of ultra-relativistic gravitational scattering from analyticity/crossing (DiVecchia-Heissenberg-Russo-Veneziano'20) 

ultra-relativistic eikonal phase: $\delta(s, b)=\delta_{0}(s, b)+\delta_{2}(s, b)$

$$
\underset{\substack{\text { IR } \\ \text { finite }}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)}=\frac{\pi}{2 \log s} \operatorname{Im}\left(2 \delta_{2}\right)-\frac{\delta_{0}}{s}\left(\nabla 2 \delta_{0}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log s}\right)
$$


universality of HE result $=\mathrm{ACV}$, thanks to radiative effects

+ DiVecchia-Heissenberg-Russo-Veneziano'21: Radiation Reaction from Soft Theorems


## Radiation-Reaction Contribution to the (transverse) <br> Classical Scattering Angle at G^3 (TD 2010.01641)

$$
\chi^{\mathrm{tot}}=\chi^{\mathrm{cons}}+\chi^{\mathrm{rad}}
$$



$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi^{\mathrm{cons}}+\chi^{\mathrm{rad}}\right)=2 \frac{\gamma}{j}+\frac{16}{3} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{j^{3}}=\chi^{\mathrm{ACV}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where, to first order in Rad-Reac, one has (Bini-TD'12) } \\
& \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 2\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2} \chi^{\mathrm{rad}}(\gamma, j, \nu)=+\frac{\nu}{h^{2}(\gamma, \nu) j^{3}}\left(2 \gamma^{2}-1\right)^{2} \mathcal{I}(v)+O\left(G^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Translating quantum scattering amplitudes into classical dynamical information (3)

Kosower-Maybee-O'Connell'19 formalism for any observable O

$$
\Delta O=\langle\text { out }| \mathbb{O} \mid \text { out }\rangle-\langle\text { in }| \mathbb{O} \mid \text { in }\rangle \quad \text { with lout }\rangle=\mathrm{S} \text { lin }>\text { and } \mathrm{S}=1+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~T}
$$

$$
\Delta O=\langle\mathrm{in}| i[O, T]|\mathrm{in}\rangle+\langle\mathrm{in}| T^{\dagger}[O, T]|\mathrm{in}\rangle
$$

Hermann-Parra-Martinez-Ruf-Zeng'21 making use of: generalized unitarity, reverse unitarity ( for phase-space integrals), method of regions, integration by parts canonical differential eqs applied KMOC to $O=p \_1^{\wedge} m u$ and $p \_r a d^{\wedge} m u$


$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta p_{1, \perp, \text { cons }}^{\mu,(2)}=\frac{G^{3} M^{4} \nu}{|b|^{3}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} \frac{b^{\mu}}{|b|}\left[h^{2}(\sigma, \nu)\left(16 \sigma^{2}-\frac{1}{\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{4}{3} \nu \sigma\left(14 \sigma^{2}+25\right)-8 \nu\left(4 \sigma^{4}-12 \sigma^{2}-3\right) \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}\right] \\
& \Delta p_{1, u, \text { cons }}^{\mu,(2)}=\frac{G^{3} M^{5} \nu^{2}}{|b|^{3}} \frac{3 \pi\left(2 \sigma^{2}-1\right)\left(5 \sigma^{2}-1\right)}{2\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)}\left[\frac{1}{m_{1}} \check{u}_{1}^{\mu}-\frac{1}{m_{2}} \check{u}_{2}^{\mu}\right] \\
& \Delta p_{1, \text { rad }}^{\mu,(2)}=\frac{G^{3} M^{4} \nu^{2}}{|b|^{3}}\left\{\frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} \frac{b^{\mu}}{|b|}\left[f_{1}^{\mathrm{LS}}(\sigma)+f_{3}^{\mathrm{LS}}(\sigma) \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\pi \check{u}_{2}^{\mu}\left[f_{1}(\sigma)+f_{2}(\sigma) \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+f_{3}(\sigma) \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}\right]\right\} . \\
& f_{1}^{1 . S}(\sigma)=-\frac{\left(2 \sigma^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(5 \sigma^{2}-3\right)}{3\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
& f_{3}^{1 . S}(\sigma)=\frac{2\left(2 \sigma^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(2 \sigma^{2}-3\right)}{\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
& i_{1}(\sigma)=\frac{210 \sigma^{4}-552 \sigma^{5}+339 \sigma^{4}-912 \sigma^{2}+3148 \sigma^{2}-3336 \sigma+1151}{48\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2}}, \\
& f_{2}(\sigma)=-\frac{35 \sigma^{2}+60 \sigma^{2}-150 \sigma^{2}-76 \sigma-5}{8 \sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} . \\
& f_{i s}(\tau)=\frac{\left(2 \sigma^{2}-3\right)\left(35 \sigma^{4}-30 \sigma^{2}+11\right)}{8\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{8 / 2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

## radiated momentum

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta R^{\mu}=\frac{G^{3} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}^{2}}{|b|^{3}} \frac{u_{1}^{\mu}+u_{2}^{\mu}}{\sigma+1} \mathcal{E}(\sigma)+\mathcal{O}\left(G^{4}\right) \\
\frac{\mathcal{E}(\sigma)}{\pi}=f_{1}(\sigma)+f_{2}(\sigma) \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+f_{3}(\sigma) \frac{\sigma \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

see also: DiVecchia et al, Riva-Vernizzi'21,Bjerrum-Bohr-Plante-Vanhove-Damgaard, useful results concerning the waveform (using QFT integration methods)...

## potential gravitons only

## Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at $\mathcal{O}\left(\boldsymbol{G}^{4}\right)$

Zvi Bern, ${ }^{1}$ Julio Parra-Martinez $\oplus$, ${ }^{2}$ Radu Roiban, ${ }^{3}$ Michael S. Ruf $\oplus,{ }^{4}$
Chia-Hsien Shen $\odot{ }^{5}$ Mikhail P. Solon, ${ }^{1}$ and Mao Zeng ${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Mani I. Rhaumik Institute for Thenrotical Phvsirs IIniversity of California at Ins Anoples

## three-loop

level
G^4


FIG. 2. Sample diagrams at $\mathcal{O}\left(G^{4}\right)$. From left to right: a contribution in the probe limit, a nonplanar diagram that contains iteration terms, and a diagram that contains contributions related to the tail effect.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{4}(\boldsymbol{q})= & G^{4} M^{7} \nu^{2}|\boldsymbol{q}|\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^{2}}{4^{1 / 3} \tilde{\mu}^{2}}\right)^{-3 e} \pi^{2}\left[\mathcal{M}_{4}^{p}+\nu\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{4}^{t}}{\epsilon}+\mathcal{M}_{4}^{f}\right)\right]+\int_{\ell} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1}^{4}}{Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{3}}+\int_{\boldsymbol{e}} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1}^{2} \tilde{I}_{r, 2}}{Z_{1} Z_{2}}+\int_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1} \tilde{I}_{r, 3}}{Z_{1}}+\int_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 2}^{2}}{Z_{1}}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{p}= & -\frac{35\left(1-18 \sigma^{2}+33 \sigma^{4}\right)}{8\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)}, \mathcal{M}_{4}^{t}=h_{1}+h_{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+h_{3} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{f}= & h_{4}+h_{5} \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+h_{6} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}+h_{7} \log (\sigma)-h_{2} \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}+h_{8} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh}^{2}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{2}-1}+h_{9}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)\right] \\
& +h_{10}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right]+h_{11}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{1+\sigma}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right]+h_{2} \frac{2 \sigma\left(2 \sigma^{2}-3\right)}{\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2}}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{2 h_{3}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(1-\sigma-\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(1-\sigma+\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}\right)+5 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}}\right)-5 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right) \operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)\right]+h_{12} K^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)+h_{13} K\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right) E\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)+h_{14} E^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Classical scattering perturbation theory enhanced by using QFT integration methods

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x_{a}^{\mu}}{d \sigma_{a}}=g^{\mu \nu}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a \nu}, \\
& \frac{d p_{a \mu}}{d \sigma_{a}}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a a} p_{a \beta} . \\
& R^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} R g^{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T^{\mu \nu} \\
& T^{\mu \nu}(x)=\sum_{\cdots} \int d \sigma_{a} p_{a}^{\mu} p_{a}^{\nu} \frac{\delta^{4}\left(x-x_{a}\left(\sigma_{a}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{q}} \\
& \Delta p_{a \mu}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \sigma_{a} \frac{d p_{a \mu}}{d \sigma_{a}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \sigma_{a} \partial_{\mu} g^{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{a}\right) p_{a \alpha} p_{a \beta} . \\
& \Delta p_{1 \mu}=2 G \int d \sigma_{1} d \sigma_{2} p_{1 \alpha} p_{1 \beta} \\
& \times \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha \beta ; \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)-x_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\right) p_{2 \alpha^{\prime}} p_{2 \beta^{\prime}} \\
& \mathcal{P}^{\alpha \beta ; \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}(x-y)=\left(\eta^{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \eta^{\beta \beta^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha \beta} \eta^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right) \mathcal{G}(x-y) \\
& \text { with } \\
& \text { retarded } \\
& \text { propagator }
\end{aligned}
$$

Approach initiated long ago: Rosenblum'78 Westpfahl'79,'85 Portilla'80 Bel et al.'81 limited by the technical difficulty of computing the integrals beyond $G^{\wedge} 2$, ie at $\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 2=2$-loop. Recently developed to compete with quantum-scattering approach: Kalin-Porto, Porto et al, Plefka et al, Dlapa-Kalin-Liu-Porto,...


FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the irreducible information contained, at each post-Minkowskian level (keyed by a power of $u=G M / r)$, in the local dynamics. Each vertical column of dots describes the post-Newtonian expansion (keyed by powers of $p^{2}$ ) of an energy-dependent function parametrizing the scattering angle. The various columns at a given post-Minkowskian level correspond to increasing powers of the symmetric mass-ratio $\nu$. See text for details.

## Inclusion of conservative nonlocal radiation-graviton effects

Use Delaunay-averaging expansions in e or p_r
(TD-Jaranowski-Schaefer'15,Bini-TD-Geralico...)

Starting at $\mathrm{G}^{\wedge} 4 / \mathrm{c}^{\wedge} 8$, dynamics contains a nonlocal action


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\text {nonloc }}^{4+5 \mathrm{PN}}\left[x_{1}\left(s_{1}\right), x_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)\right]= \frac{G^{2} \mathcal{M}}{c^{3}} \int d t \mathrm{PF}_{2 r_{12}^{h}(t) / c} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{1 \mathrm{PN}}^{\text {split }}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)= \\
& \times \int \frac{G}{c^{5}}\left(\frac{1}{5} I_{a b}^{(3)}(t) I_{a b}^{(3)}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{\mid 189 c^{2}} I_{a b c}^{(4)}(t) I_{a b c}^{(4)}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& 1 \mathrm{pplit} \\
&\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) .\left.+\frac{16}{45 c^{2}} J_{a b}^{(3)}(t) J_{a b}^{(3)}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For elliptic motions, the 4PN nonlocal EOB Hamiltonian reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(u)=1-2 u+2 v u^{3}+\left(\frac{94}{3}-\frac{41 \pi^{2}}{32}\right) \nu u^{4}+\left(\left(\frac{2275 \pi^{2}}{512}-\frac{4237}{60}+\frac{128}{5} \sqrt{\mathrm{E}}+\frac{256}{5} \ln 2\right) \nu+\left(\frac{41 \pi^{2}}{32}-\frac{221}{6}\right) \nu^{2}+\frac{64}{5} \nu \ln u\right) u^{5},  \tag{8.1a}\\
& \bar{D}(u)=1+6 \nu u^{2}+\left(52 \nu-6 \nu^{2}\right) u^{3}+\left(\left(--_{45}^{533}-{ }_{1536}^{23761 \pi^{2}}+{ }_{15}^{1184} \gamma_{\mathrm{E}}-{ }_{15}^{6496} \ln 2+{ }_{5}^{2916} \ln 3\right) \nu\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{123 \pi^{2}}{16}-260\right) \nu^{2}+\frac{592}{15} \nu \ln u\right) u^{4} . \\
& \hat{Q}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)=\left(2(4-3 \nu) \nu u^{2}+\left(\left(-\frac{5308}{15}+\frac{496256}{45} \ln 2-\frac{33048}{5} \ln 3\right) \nu-83 \nu^{2}+10 \nu^{3}\right) u^{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)^{4} \\
& +\left(\left(-\frac{827}{3}-\frac{2358912}{25} \ln 2+\frac{1399437}{50} \ln 3+\frac{390625}{18} \ln 5\right) \nu-\frac{27}{5} \nu^{2}+6 \nu^{3}\right) u^{2}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)^{5}+\mathcal{O}\left[\nu u\left(\mathbf{n}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{7}\right)^{8}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

# Conservative Radiative Contributions to the Classical Scattering at 6PN and G^4 

Recent amplitude computation of potential-graviton contribution to conservative 4PM (G^4) dynamics (Bern et al '21); additional radiation-graviton conservative contrib. (Bini-TD-Geralico'21)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\chi}_{4}-\chi_{4}^{\text {Schw }}=\pi \nu \hat{A}\left(p_{\infty}\right)-\hat{\mathcal{E}}\left(p_{\infty}\right) \ln \left(\frac{p_{\infty}}{2}\right) \\
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\text {radgrav }} & =\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\text {radgrav,finite }}+4 \mathcal{M}_{4}^{t} \ln \left(\frac{p_{\infty}}{2}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\text {radgrav,finite }}+16 p_{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}} \ln \left(\frac{p_{\infty}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}\left(p_{\infty}\right)= & -\frac{15}{4}+\left(\frac{123}{256} \pi^{2}-\frac{557}{16}\right) p_{\infty}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{33601}{16384} \pi^{2}-\frac{6113}{96}\right) p_{\infty}^{4} \\
& +\left(\frac{93031}{32768} \pi^{2}-\frac{615581}{19200}\right) p_{\infty}^{6} \\
& +\left(\frac{29201523}{33554432} \pi^{2}-\frac{5824797}{627200}\right) p_{\infty}^{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\text {radgrav,finite }}= & \frac{12044}{75} p_{\infty}^{2}+\frac{212077}{3675} p_{\infty}^{4} \\
& +\frac{115917979}{793800} p_{\infty}^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

## soft (radiationlike) gravitons

## Scattering Amplitudes, the Tail Effect, and Conservative Binary Dynamics at $\mathcal{O}\left(G^{4}\right)$

Zvi Bern, ${ }^{1}$ Julio Parra-Martincz, ${ }^{2}$ Radu Roiban, ${ }^{3}$ Michacl S. Ruf, ${ }^{1}$ Chia-Hsien Shen, ${ }^{4}$ Mikhail P. Solon, ${ }^{1}$ and Mao Zeng ${ }^{5}$

## Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems at Fourth

Post-Minkowskian Order in the Large-eccentricity Expansion
Christoph Dlapa, ${ }^{1}$ Gregor Kälin, ${ }^{1}$ Zhengwen Liu, ${ }^{1}$ and Rafael A. Porto ${ }^{1}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{4} M^{7} \nu^{2}|\boldsymbol{q}| \pi^{2}\left[\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{p}}+\nu\left(4 \mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{t}} \log \left(\frac{p_{\infty}}{2}\right)+\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\pi^{2}}+\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{rem}}\right)\right]+\int_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1}^{4}}{Z_{1} Z_{2} Z_{3}}+\int_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1}^{2} \tilde{I}_{r, 2}}{Z_{1} Z_{2}}+\int_{\ell} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 1}}{Z_{1}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I})} \tilde{I}_{r, 3} \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{p}}= & -\frac{35\left(1-18 \sigma^{2}+33 \sigma^{4}\right)}{8\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{t}}= & r_{1}+r_{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+r_{3} \frac{\tilde{I}_{r, 2}}{Z_{1}}, \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\pi^{2}}= & r_{4} \pi^{2}+r_{5} \mathrm{~K}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)+r_{6} \mathrm{~K}^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)+r_{7} \mathrm{E}^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right), \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{rem}}= & r_{8}+r_{9} \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+r_{10} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh(\sigma )}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}+r_{11} \log (\sigma)+r_{12} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+r_{13} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} \log \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{2}\right)+r_{14} \frac{\operatorname{arccosh}^{2}(\sigma)}{\sigma^{2}-1} \\
+ & r_{15} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)+r_{16} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{1+\sigma}\right)+r_{17} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}}\left[\operatorname { L i } _ { 2 } \left(-\sqrt{\left.\left.\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma+1}}\right)\right] .}\right.\right. \\
& \\
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{radgrav}, \mathrm{f}}= & \frac{12044}{75} p_{\infty}^{2}+\frac{212077}{3675} p_{\infty}^{4}+\frac{115917979}{793800} p_{\infty}^{6} \\
& -\frac{9823091209}{76839840} p_{\infty}^{8}+\frac{115240251793703}{1038874636800} p_{\infty}^{10} \\
& -\frac{411188753665637}{4155498547200} p_{\infty}^{12}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

whose first three terms match the sixth PN order result in Eq. (6.20) of Ref. [42].

## Puzzles Concerning Radiative Contributions

high-energy limits?
G^3 energy loss too large
Conservative G^4 scattering diverges cf ACV motivation: BH formation in HE scattering
low-energy discrepancy at 5PN between
Foffa-Sturani' 19,21 Bluemlein et al'21 and Bini-TD-Geralico


$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{Q Q L} & =C_{Q Q L} G^{2} \int d t I_{i k}^{(4)} I_{j=}^{(3)} \varepsilon_{i j k} L_{k} \\
S_{Q Q Q_{1}} & =C_{Q Q Q_{1}} G^{2} \int d t I_{i k}^{(4)} I_{k}^{(4)} I_{i j}, \\
S_{Q Q Q_{2}} & =C_{Q Q Q_{2}} G^{2} \int d t I_{i k}^{(3)} I_{j k}^{(3)} I_{i j}^{(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

EFT radiative terms

$$
0=\frac{2973}{350}-\frac{69}{2} C_{Q Q L}+\frac{253}{18} C_{Q Q Q_{1}}+\frac{85}{9} C_{Q Q Q_{2}}
$$

## A tale of many Green's functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{\mathrm{ret}}(x)=\frac{\delta(t-r / c)}{r} \quad G_{\mathrm{ret}}=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{k^{2}}+i \pi \operatorname{sign}\left(k^{0}\right) \delta\left(k^{2}\right) \\
& G_{\text {sym }}(x)=\frac{\delta(t-r / c)+\delta(t+r / c)}{2 r} G_{\text {sym }}=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \\
& G_{\mathrm{sym}}^{\mathrm{PN}}(x)=\frac{\delta(t)}{r}+\frac{r}{2 c^{2}} \ddot{\delta}(t)+\cdots G_{\mathrm{sym}}^{\mathrm{PN}}=\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^{2}}+\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2} \mathbf{k}^{4}}+\cdots \\
& G_{\mathrm{F}}(x)=\frac{i}{\pi\left(t^{2}-r^{2}+i 0\right)} G_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{k^{2}}+i \pi \delta\left(k^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

+ issues of: <in,out>; <in,in>, FWF, Schwinger-Keldysh,...
Within the <in,in> approach (which involves causal exchanges) Foffa-Sturani advocate to extract the conservative part by taking the time symmetric part of the result
+ issue of scattering effects quadratic in radiation-reaction
+ issue of separating/combining conservative and radiative effects


## Conclusions

- Analytical approaches to GW signals play a crucial role (in conjunction with Numerical Relativity simulations) for the detection, interpretation and parameter estimation of coalescing binary systems (BBH and BNS). It is important to further improve our analytical knowledge for future GW detectors: second generation ground-based detectors, space detectors, second generation ground-based detectors.
- Quantum (and classical) scattering approaches have given new results of great conceptual interest, and also of potential interests for GW detection. The fruitful dialogue between QFT, EFT, PN, PM, EOB, Tutti-Frutti methods must be vigorously pursued. Discrepancies must be resolved to complete the determination of the 5PN dynamics (of direct utility for LIGO-Virgo). Radiative effects are still puzzling.


## Henri Poincaré

«Il n'y a pas de problèmes résolus,
il y a seulement des problèmes plus ou moins résolus »
«There are no (definitely) solved problems, there are only more or less solved problems »


