Lévy flights and multifractality in quantum critical diffusion and in classical random walks on fractals

V. E. Kravtsov,^{1,2} O. M. Yevtushenko,³ P. Snajberk,³ and E. Cuevas⁴

¹The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, PO Box 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy

²Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 2 Kosygina Street, 117940 Moscow, Russia

³Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics and Center for Nano-Science, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, 80333 Munich, Germany

⁴Departamento de Física, Universidad de Murcia, E30071 Murcia, Spain

(Received 4 June 2012; published 31 August 2012)

We employ the method of virial expansion to compute the retarded density correlation function (generalized diffusion propagator) in the critical random matrix ensemble in the limit of strong multifractality. We find that the long-range nature of the Hamiltonian is a common root of both multifractality and Lévy flights, which show up in the power-law intermediate- and long-distance behaviors, respectively, of the density correlation function. We review certain models of classical random walks on fractals and show the similarity of the density correlation function in them to that for the quantum problem described by the random critical long-range Hamiltonians.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021136

PACS number(s): 05.40.Fb, 71.30.+h, 05.45.Df, 72.15.Rn

I. INTRODUCTION

Multifractality of critical wave functions [1] has recently emerged as a subject of not only fundamental theoretical interest [2] but also experimental activities at a frontier of technological achievements [3–5] in surface imaging, sound propagation, and driven cold atom systems. It could also be an important issue in describing the superconductor (superfluid) to insulator transitions in condensed matter and systems of cold atoms [6], the Kondo effect in strongly disordered metals [7], and other interactions and nonlinear phenomena in systems with strong disorder. Multifractal correlations are a property of wave functions near the Anderson localization transition in three-dimensional (3D) systems with short-range Hamiltonians as well as in systems with long-range $|H_{r,r'}| \sim$ $|r - r'|^{-d}$ hopping [8–11] (*d* is the space dimension). In the latter systems critical correlations of wave functions may be realized in a broad range of parameters.

Usually multifractality is studied by considering the moments of the local density of states at a given energy ε or the correlation functions of such moments at different energies. However, it may show up also in the density correlation function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(\omega) = \left\langle \sum_{n,m} \frac{\psi_n(r')\psi_n^*(r)\psi_m(r)\psi_m^*(r')}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n + i0)(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_m - \omega - i0)} \right\rangle.$$
(1)

In Eq. (1) we denote by $\psi_n(r)$ the exact *n*th wave function at a site *r*, which corresponds to the energy ε_n , ε is the fixed energy (which we set to zero throughout this paper). The symbol $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for the ensemble averaging. The Fourier transform $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t)$ of this correlation function (generalized diffusion propagator) describes spreading of the wave packet in space and time and is a direct generalization of the pure classical object: the probability density to find a random walker at a point *r* at a time *t* after the beginning of a random walk from the originr' = 0. The possibility of both a classical and a quantum formulation of the density correlation function makes this object convenient for a quantum-to-classical comparison.

The successor of the generalized diffusion propagator is the survival probability or the return probability P(t),

$$2\pi\rho_0 P(t) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r}(t), \qquad (2)$$

where ρ_0 is the averaged density of states (DOS). This is the probability of a wave packet surviving for a time *t* at a point where it was created at t = 0 or the probability of a random walker being found at the origin at a time *t*. For extended states P(t) decreases with time, albeit differently for the truly extended states and the critical multifractal states [13,14]:

$$P(t) \propto \begin{cases} t^{-d/2} & \text{for extended states} \\ t^{-d_2/d} & \text{for critical states.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

In Eq. (3), $d_2 < d$ is the nontrivial critical exponent, the correlation fractal dimension, that determines the inverse participation ratio in a critical system of the size *L*:

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \left\langle \sum_r |\psi(r)|^4 \right\rangle \propto L^{-d_2}.$$
 (4)

A general form of the density correlation function $\mathcal{D}(\kappa, \omega)$ was suggested by Chalker in the momentum-frequency representation [13,15]. In the region of critical states it can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}(\kappa,\omega) = \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{(-i\omega+0)[f(|\kappa|L_{-i\omega})+1]},\tag{5}$$

where $\rho_0 = N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n) \rangle |_{\varepsilon=0}$ is the averaged density of states at $\varepsilon = 0$.

The key element of this prediction is that at criticality (in the classical Dyson symmetry classes) there is only one scale $1/L_{\omega} \propto \omega^{1/d}$, which separates small- and large-momentum behavior, both of them being different limits of one and the same analytic function f(x). The combination $L_{-i\omega} \propto$ $(-i\omega + 0)^{-d}$ in Eq. (5) is dictated by the retarded character of the correlation function, which should be a regular function in the upper half plane of complex ω .

Note that the original idea of Chalker was that of reconciling the one-parameter scaling of Abrahams, Anderson, Licciardello, and Ramakrishnan [16] with the multifractal behavior. The one-parameter scaling is encoded in Eq. (5): The



FIG. 1. (Color online) Generalized diffusion propagator (density correlation function) for critical eigenstates of long-range Hamiltonians (12) with strong multifractality $d_2 \ll d$. The multifractality is encoded in the intermediate-distance $[1 \ll r/\ell_0 \ll (E_0 t)^{1/d}]$ dependence r^{-d+d_2} while the Lévy flights appear in the long-distance $[r/\ell_0 \gg (E_0 t)^{1/d}]$ tails r^{-2d} ; ℓ_0 and E_0 are the lower length scale and the upper energy scale of multifractality [12], respectively. The overall functional form of the density correlation function obeys the scaling law $r^{-d}S(r^d/t)$.

counterpart of $\mathcal{D}(\kappa,\omega)$ in the coordinate-time domain obeys the scaling law

$$r^{d}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,0}(t) = S(r^{d}/t),$$
 (6)

where S(x) is the scaling function.

According to Chalker's conjecture, the multifractality exhibits itself in the asymptotic form of the function f(x) at $|x| \gg 1$:

$$f(x) = cx^{d_2}, \quad |x| \gg 1.$$
 (7)

Here d_2 is the same correlation fractal dimension defined by Eq. (4), which appears also in Eq. (3) as well as in the local density of states correlation function [14]. It determines the multifractal regime of the density correlation function (see Fig. 1), where

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,0}(t) \propto r^{-d} \left(\frac{r^d}{t}\right)^{d_2/d} \quad (t > r^d).$$
(8)

At small $|x| \ll 1$, the function f(x) is small as the probability conservation

$$\sum_{r'} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) = 2\pi\rho_0\theta(t) \tag{9}$$

requires

$$f(x=0) = 0. (10)$$

Assuming that x = 0 is the regular point of the analytic function f(x), one can expand

$$f(x) = c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + \dots \quad (x = |\kappa| L_{-i\omega}).$$
(11)

Note, however, that the regular expansion in x [Eq. (11)] does not mean analyticity in the momentum domain. For instance, the term linear in x contains the nonanalytic term $|\kappa|$. Terms nonanalytic in κ arise from any odd power of x. These terms imply power-law tails of the density correlation function in the coordinate domain. Namely, if the leading odd term in Eq. (11) is x^{2m-1} , the density correlation function acquires a power-law tail proportional to $r^{-(2m-1+d)}$ at large *r*. In analogy with classical random walks, we will refer to such tails as the Lévy flights.

The simplest class of critical systems, where such nonanalytic terms are present, includes the systems with longrange resonance hopping where the hopping terms of the Hamiltonian decrease with distance as

$$|\hat{H}_{r,r'}| \propto |r - r'|^{-d}.$$
 (12)

However, the situation depends a great deal on the dimensionality d. As we will show below, the Lévy flights in such systems are characterized by a power-law tail:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,0}(t) \propto r^{-d} \left(\frac{t}{r^d} \right) \quad (t < r^d).$$
(13)

For d = 3, the second moment $\langle r^2 \rangle$ does exist, so we have in Eq. (11) that $c_1 = 0$ and c_2 is finite. The terms nonanalytic in κ appear starting from x^3 , which, however, is subleading at small x. The role of analyticity is greatly enhanced at d = 1 where $c_1 \neq 0$. Here the leading term in Eq. (11) is analytic in κ . The case d = 2 is special [11]. In this case even criticality is not guaranteed by Eq. (12) and the answer may depend on the particular symmetry class and details of the Hamiltonian.

In this paper we concentrate on the simplest system that belongs to the class of long-range random Hamiltonians (12) with d = 1. This is the ensemble of Hermitian critical power-law banded random matrices [8] (CPLBRM) where the multifractality can be continuously tuned from weak to strong by changing only one parameter $0 < b < \infty$:

$$\langle H_{nm} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle |H_{nm}|^2 \rangle = \begin{cases} \beta^{-1}, & n = m\\ \frac{1}{2\left[1 + \left(\frac{n-m}{b}\right)^2\right]}, & n \neq m, \end{cases}$$
(14)

where $\beta = 1, 2, 4$ is the Dyson symmetry number.

We consider the limit of strong multifractality $b \ll 1$ and demonstrate the validity of Eqs. (5), (7), (8), (11), and (13), which are the key relationships for the description of Lévy flights and multifractality. Furthermore, we will find the function f(x) explicitly for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) and the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE), thereby unifying both concepts of multifractality and Lévy flights in the single analytic function.

One of the purposes of this paper is to compare the density correlation function for random critical states of a long-range Hamiltonian with that for the classical random walks on a fractal. For a classical random walk with a constant step length on a lattice, the density correlation function decreases exponentially at large distances $\hat{D}_{r,r'}(t) \propto e^{-r^2/2Dt}$ and thus all moments $\langle r^{2m} \rangle$ are well defined. A peculiar class of random walks called Lévy flights is realized in the models with variable step length. If the probability of having a long step is heavily tailed, the probability distribution of random walks may have power-law tails at large distances and thus the moments $\langle r^{2m} \rangle$ may diverge [17]. Similar power-law tails may appear in a classical random walk on hierarchical manifolds [18–20], where fractality is built in their geometry.

We will demonstrate that certain models of a classical random walk on a fractal result in the same regimes of the density correlation function as for the quantum critical longrange Hamiltonians of Eq. (12). Namely, the two principle regimes (8) and (13) described in Fig. 1 have their counterparts for the classical diffusion, with the correlation fractal dimension d_2 being replaced by the Hausdorff dimension d_h and the dimensionality of space *d* being replaced by the walk dimension. Moreover, we will see that the critical exponent 2/d of subdiffusion $\langle r^2 \rangle \propto t^{2/d}$ for critical random Hamiltonians in d > 2 dimensions appears to have the same relationship with the exponent -d of Lévy flights $r^d \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{0,r}(t) \equiv$ $S(r,t) \propto r^{-d}$ for the quantum problem with long-range random Hamiltonians (12) and for the certain classical random walks on fractals [18–20].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the method of virial expansion in the number of resonant levels and give general expressions for the density correlation function (effective diffusion) for any almost diagonal Gaussian random matrix theory (RMT). In Sec. III we apply these expressions to compute the density correlation function for the specific CPLBRM in the coordinate-time representation and uncover multifractality and Lévy flights as consequences of the long-range nature of the Hamiltonian (14). In Sec. IV we compute the density correlation function in the coordinatefrequency representation. Sections V and VI are devoted to verification of the key relationships (5), (7), and (11) due to multifractality and Lévy flights as seen in the momentumfrequency representation of the density correlation function. We explain a perturbative derivation of Lévy flights for strong multifractality and the Wigner-Dyson limit of the CPLBRM in Secs. VII and VIII, respectively. In Sec. IX we present numerical results for the critical RMT with weak and strong multifractality. In Sec. X we discuss the classical random walks on fractals and show that in certain models they have the same phenomenology as their quantum counterpart. In Sec. XI we summarize the main results of the paper and discuss their applicability to the Anderson transition in 3D systems.

II. VIRIAL EXPANSION METHOD

In order to check the validity of Eqs. (5), (7), (8) (11), and (13) for the ensemble of CPLBRM (14) at strong multifractality $b \ll 1$ we exploit the method of virial expansion in the number of resonant levels [21,22]. This method is a certain resummation of the locator expansion [23] in the hopping matrix element h_{nm} :

$$h_{nm}^2 = \langle |H_{n\neq m}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{b^2}{(n-m)^2}.$$
 (15)

The summation is organized so that any correlation function $C(\kappa,\omega)$ is represented as a series in $b \ll 1$:

$$C(\kappa,\omega) = \omega^{\eta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b^{m-1} C^{(m)}(\kappa L_{\omega}), \qquad (16)$$

where the length scale L_{ω} is itself b dependent,

$$L_{\omega} = \frac{2\sqrt{2b}}{\omega\beta},\tag{17}$$

and η is specific to a particular correlation function.

The advantage of this representation is that Eq. (16) is a functional series, each function $C^{(m)}(\kappa L_{\omega})$ adding details of correlations that emerge from the resonant interaction of *m* states. These states have energy levels ε_m anomalously close to each other within the interval of order $b \ll 1$. At small *b*, such a multiple collision of levels has small probability. Therefore, even the few first terms in the series (16) give a very good approximation of the correlation function.

Note that the density of states $\rho_E \approx \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} e^{-\beta E^2/2}$ corresponding to CPLBRM (14) has negligible variation at a scale $E \sim b \ll 1$ [24]. We will neglect such variations throughout the paper and approximate $\rho(E) \approx \rho(0) = \rho_0$. With such accuracy we obtain for the retarded density correlation function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{-i\omega+0} \Big[\delta_{r,r'} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2)}(\omega) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(3)}(\omega) + \cdots \Big].$$
(18)

In Eq. (18) we denote

$$2\pi\rho_0 \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2,3)}(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\omega t} \partial_t \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2,3)}(t) dt.$$
(19)

The density correlation function in the time domain is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) \simeq \left[2\pi\rho_0 \delta_{r,r'} + \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2)}(t) + \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(3)}(t)\right] \theta(t).$$
(20)

To simplify formulas, we assume below that t > 0 and omit $\theta(t)$.

Calculating leading terms of the virial expansion, we obtain the expressions for $\beta = 1$ (GOE),

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}^{(2)}(t) = 2\pi h_{r,r'}^2 t e^{-(h_{r,r'}t)^2} I_0[(h_{r,r'}t)^2], \qquad (21)$$

and for $\beta = 2$ (GUE),

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}^{(2)}(t) = 2\pi \left[h_{r,r'}^2 t e^{-(h_{r,r'}t)^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} h_{r,r'} \text{erf}(h_{r,r'}t) \right], \quad (22)$$

where $h_{r,r'}$ is defined in Eq. (15); $I_j(\dots)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Answers for subleading terms of the virial expansion are more lengthy and we will discuss them elsewhere [25].

Equations (18)–(22) are valid for any Gaussian RMT with an arbitrary variance $h_{r,r'}^2$ of the hopping matrix elements that is parametrically smaller than the variance of the diagonal ones (almost diagonal Gaussian RMT). Remarkably, $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2,3)}(t)$ at r = r' is expressed in terms of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}^{(2,3)}(t)$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r}^{(m)}(t) = -\sum_{r \neq r'} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(m)}(t), \quad m = 2,3.$$
(23)

This is a consequence of the particle conservation, which requires

$$\sum_{r} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) = 2\pi\rho_0.$$
⁽²⁴⁾

As this relation is already fulfilled by the first term in Eq. (18), all other terms proportional to $\mathcal{D}_{r,r'}^{(m)}$ $(m \ge 2)$ should obey Eq. (23).

III. DENSITY CORRELATION FUNCTION IN THE TIME DOMAIN AND RETURN PROBABILITY

An inspection of Eqs. (21) and (22) shows that for the CPLBRM with $b \ll 1$ the asymptotic behavior of the effective diffusion $\hat{D}_{r,r'}(t) = \rho_0^{-1} \hat{D}_{r,r'}^{(2)}(t)$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) = 2\pi\rho_0 \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2b}}{2|r-r'|} & \text{(GOE)} \\ \frac{\pi b}{2\sqrt{2}|r-r'|} & \text{(GUE)} \end{cases}$$
(25)

for $|r - r'| \ll bt$ and

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) = \frac{\pi\beta b^2 t}{|r-r'|^2}, \quad |r-r'| \gg bt.$$
(26)

In a more general case of long-range Hamiltonians obeying Eq. (12) one should replace |r - r'| by $|r - r'|^d$ to obtain the large-distance tail (13), which is the hallmark of the Lévy flights.

The small-distance behavior $\hat{D}_{r,r'}(t) \propto |r - r'|^{-d}$ is also remarkable. By applying Eq. (23) one obtains for the survival probability (2)

$$P(t) = 1 - \frac{d_2}{d} \ln(bt) \approx (bt)^{-d_2/d}$$
(27)

(see Ref. [14] for more details) where for the CPLBRM one finds [2]

$$\frac{d_2}{d} \simeq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}b & (\text{GOE}) \\ \frac{\pi b}{\sqrt{2}} & (\text{GUE}) \end{cases}$$
(28)

for $b \ll 1$. Thus Eq. (27) shows that the asymptotic behavior $|r - r'|^{-d}$ is a signature of (strong) multifractality with the correlation dimension given by Eq. (28).

We conclude this section by emphasizing once again that the multifractality and Lévy flights for the long-range Hamiltonians (12) have the same root and cannot exist without each other.

IV. DENSITY CORRELATION FUNCTION IN THE FREQUENCY DOMAIN

One can invert Eq. (19) and obtain

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\beta^{3/2}\pi^{\beta-1}}{4}\frac{i}{z}\Omega^{(\beta)}(z), \qquad (29)$$

where

$$z = (|r - r'|/L_{\omega})^d \propto \omega |r - r'|^d, \qquad (30)$$

d = 1 for CPLBRM, L_{ω} is given by Eq. (17), and expressions for Ω read

$$\Omega^{(\text{GOE})}(z) = z^2 e^{-z^2} \{ [K_0(z^2) + K_1(z^2)] + \pi i \operatorname{sgn}(z) [I_0(z^2) - I_1(z^2)] \} \quad (\text{GOE}, \ \beta = 1),$$
(31)

$$\Omega^{(\text{GUE})}(z) = \left(z^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-z^{2}} \\ \times \left[1 + i\left(\text{erfi}(z) - \frac{ze^{z^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}(z^{2} + \frac{1}{2})}\right)\right] \\ (\text{GUE}, \ \beta = 2); \tag{32}$$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Real part of the functions $\Omega^{(\text{GOE})}(z)$ in Eq. (31) (blue, $\beta = 1$) and $\Omega^{(\text{GUE})}(z)$ in Eq. (32) (red, $\beta = 2$). They describe the ω dependence of the corrections $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2)}$ to the retarded density correlation function at a fixed $r \neq r'$. Note that these corrections are caused by the extended nature of wave functions.

 $K_j(\dots)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. The functions $\Omega^{(\beta)}(z)$ in Eqs. (31) and (32) are qualitatively very similar for the orthogonal and unitary ensembles (see Figs. 2 and 3). Their real parts are even functions of *z*, which exponentially decrease like $|z|^{\beta}e^{-2z^2/\beta}$ at $|z| \gg 1$. The imaginary parts are odd functions of *z*, which behave like z^{-1} at large |z|. Correspondingly, the density correlation function in the coordinate-frequency representation behaves at $|z| \gg 1$ (large distances or frequencies) like

$$\operatorname{Im}[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}(\omega)] \sim \operatorname{sgn}(z)|z|^{\beta-1}e^{-2z^2/\beta},$$
(33)

$$\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}(\omega)] \sim -\frac{1}{z^2}.$$
(34)

Note that the correlation function $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r\neq r'}(\omega)$ for $|r - r'| \gg L_{\omega}$ has a power-law tail. This is another manifestation of Lévy flights in the $r - \omega$ domain.

V. FREQUENCY-MOMENTUM REPRESENTATION

Now we compute the retarded density correlation function $\mathcal{D}(\kappa,\omega)$ in the momentum-frequency representation. This



FIG. 3. (Color online) Imaginary part of the functions $\Omega^{(\text{GOE})}(z)$ in Eq. (31) (blue, $\beta = 1$) and $\Omega^{(\text{GUE})}(z)$ in Eq. (32) (red, $\beta = 2$).

allows us to make contact with Eq. (5) to check Chalker's conjecture on the effect of multifractality (7) and to detect the terms analytic in κ in Eq. (11), which is a signature of Lévy flights.

To this end we define

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)}(\kappa,\omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r,r'} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(2)}_{r,r'}(\omega) e^{i\kappa(r-r')},\tag{35}$$

where $N \times N$ is the size of the matrix. We assume this size to be larger than all the relevant length scales of the system. If in addition $\kappa \ll 1$, one can switch from the sum to integral in Eq. (35) to implement the continuous approximation, which is valid at $|L_{\omega}| \gg 1$.

Before proceeding with calculations, let us note that Eq. (23) implies

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)}(\kappa = 0, \omega) = 0. \tag{36}$$

Thus one can do the Fourier transform of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}^{(2)}(\omega)$ at $|r - r'| \neq 0$ and then subtract the $\kappa = 0$ value of the result obtained. Thus we obtain from Eqs. (31) and (32)

$$\mathcal{D}(\kappa,\omega) = \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{-i\omega+0} + |L_{\omega}|G(\bar{\kappa}), \qquad (37)$$

where $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa L_{\omega}$. One can easily see that Eq. (37) is consistent with Eq. (5) in the limit $b \log(\bar{k}) \ll 1$, with $f(x) = i(\sqrt{2}/\pi\beta\rho_0)bG(\bar{k})$. The retarded character of the correlation function is encoded in real and imaginary parts of $G(\bar{k})$, which obey the Kramers-Kronig relationship. The expressions for them read, for the GOE, with $\beta = 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re}[G^{(\text{GOE})}(\bar{\kappa})] = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{32} |\bar{\kappa}| e^{-\bar{\kappa}^2/16} I_0\left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}^2}{16}\right), \quad (38)$$

 $\operatorname{Im}[G^{(\text{GOE})}(\bar{\kappa})]$

$$= -\operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\kappa}^2}{3} \operatorname{pFq}\left(\{1, 1, 3\}, \left\{\frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}\right\}, -\frac{\bar{\kappa}^2}{8}\right) - {}_p F_q\left(\{1, 1\}, \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}, -\frac{\bar{\kappa}^2}{8}\right) \right]$$
(39)

and for the GUE, with $\beta = 2$,

$$Re[G^{(GUE)}(\bar{\kappa})] = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left[|\bar{\kappa}| e^{-\bar{\kappa}^2/4} - \sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2}\right) \right], \quad (40)$$
$$Im[G^{(GUE)}(\bar{\kappa})] = -\operatorname{sgn}(\omega) \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{4} \left[2\sqrt{\pi} e^{-\bar{\kappa}^2/4} \bar{\kappa} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{2}\right) + \bar{\kappa}^2 {}_p F_q\left(\{1,1\}, \left\{\frac{3}{2},2\right\}, -\frac{\bar{\kappa}^2}{4}\right) \right]. \quad (41)$$

Here γ is the Euler constant and ${}_{p}F_{q}(\cdots)$ is the hypergeometric function. We discuss these results in the following section.

VI. COMPARISON WITH CHALKER'S CONJECTURE

The asymptotic behavior of the functions $G^{\text{GOE}}(\bar{\kappa})$ and $G^{\text{GUE}}(\bar{\kappa})$ is very similar. At small $\bar{\kappa} \ll 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re}[G(\bar{\kappa})] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}|\bar{\kappa}|, & \beta = 1\\ \pi^{2}|\bar{\kappa}|, & \beta = 2, \end{cases}$$
(42)

$$\operatorname{Im}[G(\bar{\kappa})] = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\bar{\kappa}^2, & \beta = 1\\ -\frac{3}{8}\bar{\kappa}^2, & \beta = 2. \end{cases}$$
(43)

This is consistent with Eq. (11) (at d = 1) where all $c_i \sim b$. In particular, the analytic behavior proportional to $|\bar{\kappa}|$ caused by the Lévy flights is confirmed by Eq. (42). Equation (43) corresponds to corrections proportional to $c_2 x^2$ in Eq. (11).

More interesting is the asymptotic behavior of $G(\bar{k})$ at large $|\bar{\kappa}| \gg 1$. While Re[$G(\bar{\kappa})$] tends to a constant

$$\operatorname{Re}[G(\bar{\kappa})] = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}}, & \beta = 1\\ \frac{\pi^{5/2}}{2}, & \beta = 2 \end{cases}$$
(44)

for $|\bar{\kappa}| \gg 1$, $|\text{Im}[G(\bar{\kappa})]|$ increases logarithmically

$$\operatorname{Im}[G(\bar{\kappa})] = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} \ln(|\bar{\kappa}|) + \operatorname{const}, & \beta = 1\\ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(|\bar{\kappa}|) + \operatorname{const}, & \beta = 2. \end{cases}$$
(45)

Such a behavior is fully consistent with Eq. (7), in which a subleading term is added:

$$f(x) = cx^{d_2} - c. (46)$$

For very large $x \gg \exp(1/b)$, Eq. (46) reduces to Eq. (7), while in the intermediate region $1 \ll x \ll \exp(1/b)$, which is present only at small $b \ll 1$, one obtains

$$f(x) \simeq cd_2 \ln(x).$$

Thus we confirm Chalker's conjecture for the density correlation function to first order in the small parameter $b \ll 1$.

In order to illustrate the similarity of the density correlation functions conjectured in Eqs. (5), (7), and (11) and obtained analytically for the CPLBRM (14), we plot in Figs. 4 and 5 the real and imaginary parts of $G(\bar{\kappa})$ together with the asymptotic behavior (7) at small $d_2 = 0.01$. The choice of only one constant c = 1 + O(b) ensures both the right leading logarithmic behavior of $\text{Im}[G(\bar{\kappa})]$ and the correct limit of $\text{Re}[G(\bar{\kappa})]$ as $\bar{\kappa} \to \infty$. It is also seen that upon a proper rescaling of x and y coordinates the shape of $G^{\text{GOE}}(\bar{\kappa})$ and $G^{\text{GUE}}(\bar{\kappa})$ is very similar. We conclude therefore that the shape of the $G(\bar{\kappa})$ dependence is dictated mostly by criticality encoded in Chalker's conjecture and not by the symmetry of the Hamiltonian.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Real part of $G(\bar{k})$ for GOE (blue, $\beta = 1$) and GUE (red, $\beta = 2$). The dashed lines are the asymptotic behavior given by Eq. (11) (red) and Eq. (7) (black) at $d_2 = 0.01$ and c = 1 + O(b). For better comparison the GUE curve is given in the rescaled coordinates so that the asymptotic behavior at small and large κ coincides with that of the GOE curve.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Imaginary part of $G(\bar{k})$ for GOE (blue, $\beta = 1$) and GUE (red, $\beta = 2$). The latter curve is given in the rescaled coordinates as in Fig. 4. The black dashed line represents the asymptotic behavior (7) for the proper choice of the coefficient c = 1 + 0.90b and $d_2 = 0.01$.

VII. LÉVY FLIGHTS FOR STRONG MULTIFRACTALITY: A POOR-MEN DERIVATION

In this section we exploit another expression for the density correlation function (at a fixed energy of the wave packet ε , which has been reinstated in this section) in the time domain, which is equivalent to Eq. (1) provided that $\omega \ll B$ or $t \gg 1/B$, where *B* is the energy bandwidth:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r'}(t) = 2\pi \sum_{n,m} \langle \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n) e^{it(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_n)} \\ \times \psi_n(r) \psi_n^*(r+R) \psi_m(r+R) \psi_m^*(r) \rangle.$$
(47)

Note that $\hat{D}_{r \neq r'}(t = 0) = 0$ due to completeness of the set of normalized wave functions. Therefore, one can replace $e^{it(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)}$ by $e^{it(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)} - 1$ without changing the result.

Let us consider very strong multifractality and very large distances R = r - r'. Then the wave function can be approximated as

$$\psi_n(r \neq n) \approx \frac{H_{n,r}}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_r}, \quad \psi_n(r = n) \approx 1,$$
(48)

where H_{nm} is an off-diagonal entry of the matrix Hamiltonian and $\varepsilon_n \equiv H_{nn}$ is the diagonal entry. In the case of very small *b*, when the wave function normalization is almost completely concentrated at one site *n* (center of localization), the eigenenergy of a state ε_n is almost equal to the on-site energy H_{nn} .

The main contribution to Eq. (47) is given by two terms m = r and n = r + R or n = r and m = r + R. In both cases the combination of wave functions is equal to

$$-\frac{|H_{r,r+R}|^2}{(\varepsilon_r-\varepsilon_{r+R})^2}.$$

Now we average over disorder. For a Gaussian ensemble, the averaging over $\varepsilon_n = H_{nn}$ and over the hopping matrix elements H_{nm} are independent from each other. Therefore, we replace $|H_{nm}|^2$ by its average $\langle |H_{nm}|^2 \rangle$ given by Eq. (14) and reduce averaging over ε_n to the energy integral with the help of the

spectral correlation function $R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = \langle \rho(\varepsilon) \rho(\varepsilon') \rangle$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\varepsilon' R(\varepsilon,\varepsilon') \frac{1 - e^{it(\varepsilon-\varepsilon')}}{(\varepsilon-\varepsilon')^2} \frac{2\pi b^2}{R^2}.$$
 (49)

Note that due to level repulsion $R(\varepsilon,\varepsilon) = 0$, the integral in Eq. (49) is convergent at $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon$. However, at *t* being smaller than the Heisenberg time $t \ll t_H = N\rho_0$ (or $\omega \gg \Delta \sim B/N$), the region of level repulsion is very narrow, so one can replace $R(\varepsilon,\varepsilon') \approx \rho(\varepsilon)\rho(\varepsilon')$, where $\rho(\varepsilon)$ is the averaged DOS. Using also the symmetric form of the averaged DOS $\rho(\varepsilon) = \rho(-\varepsilon)$, we obtain at $\varepsilon = 0$

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) \approx \frac{4\pi\rho_0 b^2}{R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} d\varepsilon' \rho(\varepsilon') \frac{1 - \cos(t\varepsilon')}{\varepsilon'^2}.$$
 (50)

At $t \gg 1/B$, the integral in Eq. (50) is dominated by $\varepsilon' \ll B$ and one can replace $\rho(\varepsilon') \approx \rho_0$ and obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) \approx \frac{4\pi\rho_0^2 b^2}{R^2} t \int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{1-\cos(x)}{x^2} = \frac{2\pi^2\rho_0^2 b^2}{R^2} t.$$
(51)

This is exactly the result (26) obtained in the corresponding limit $R \gg bt$ from general formulas of the virial expansion.

Note, however, that Eq. (51) does not describe the behavior of the correlation function (47) at smallest $t \ll \rho_0 \sim 1/B$. In this case, one can expand the exponent in Eq. (50) and arrive at

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) \approx \frac{2\pi\rho_0 b^2}{R^2} t^2 \int_0^{+\infty} dx \rho(x) = \frac{\pi\rho_0 b^2}{R^2} t^2.$$
 (52)

The two asymptotes (51) and (52) match each other at $t \sim \rho_0$. They both describe the Lévy flights. However, Eq. (51) has the scaling form $R^{-d}(t/R^{-d})$ (at d = 1), while Eq. (52) is the fully perturbative result that violates the critical scaling law $\hat{D}_{r,r+R}(t) = R^{-d}S(R^d/t)$. In the macroscopic thermodynamic limit, when $R \to \infty$, with t/R^d fixed but $R/L \to 0$, the perturbative region plotted as a function t/R^d shrinks to zero.

VIII. DENSITY CORRELATION FUNCTION IN THE WIGNER-DYSON LIMIT $b \rightarrow \infty$

One can consider another limit when the size of the system L = N is fixed and the parameter b in Eq. (14) is increasing. The limit $b \to \infty$ corresponds to the Wigner-Dyson RMT. In this limit, the system becomes effectively zero dimensional and is no longer critical. Nevertheless, we derive and briefly review its density correlation function for the sake of completeness.

We start with the same equation (47) as in the preceding section but employ the independent averaging over eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. The former is given by the famous Wigner-Dyson statistics [26], while the latter is described by the Porter-Thomas statistics. The simplest case is $\beta = 2$ when the eigenfunction $\psi_n(r) \equiv U_{nr}$ is uniformly distributed over the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(N)$. The averages of the products of ψ functions are well known, e.g.,

Using Eq. (53), we obtain

$$(2\pi\rho_0)^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) = \delta_{R,0} + [K(t) - N]\frac{\delta_{R,0}N - 1}{N^2 - 1}, \quad (54)$$

where K(t) is the spectral form factor

$$K(t) = \frac{1}{N\rho_0} \sum_{n,m} \langle \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n) \, e^{it(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_m)} \rangle.$$
 (55)

At t = 0, summations over n and m are independent and one finds K(t = 0) = N, while at large $t \to \infty$ only terms with n = m survive and $K(t \to \infty) = 1$. However, the behavior of K(t) at small times is highly uneven: K(t) drops to almost zero for a very short time of the order of the inverse bandwidth $\rho_0 \sim 1/B \propto 1/\sqrt{N}$ and then it recovers to unity; for example, in the unitary ensemble [26] $K = t/t_H$ for $\rho_0 \ll t < t_H$ and K = 1 for $t > t_H$; we recall that the Heisenberg time is $t_H = N\rho_0$.

One can immediately see that at t = 0 Eq. (54) is the discrete δ function $\delta_{R,0}$ and that the sum rule (9) is fulfilled at any t. Furthermore, the density correlation function remains a combination of a δ function and a flat background at any t. The weight of the δ function determines the return probability

$$P(t) = 1 - \frac{N}{N^2 - 1} [N - K(t)].$$
 (56)

The monotonic behavior of K(t) results in the similar behavior of the return probability: For a short time $t \sim \rho_0 \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$, the wave packet leaves the origin almost completely. However, at later times it accumulates again in the origin, reaching the value $P(t) = \frac{1}{N+1}$ at $t > t_H$. Such an echo behavior is typical for a chaotic quantum system of finite size.

The flat background behaves with time as

$$2\pi\rho_0\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{r,r+R}(t) = \frac{N-K(t)}{N^2-1} \quad (R>0).$$
(57)

It rapidly grows at small times, then decreases a bit, and reaches the constant limit 1/(N + 1) at $t > t_H$.

Despite all unevenness of the behavior discussed above, there is one rough feature: At finite *R* the flat background is always small like N^{-1} . Given that the critical Hamiltonian (12) approaches the Wigner-Dyson RMT at $b \rightarrow N$, one expects the density correlation function to decrease at constant (large) system size *N* as the parameter b > 1 increases.

IX. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to check the analytical results of the preceding sections and, more importantly, to verify their robustness for long-range critical random matrix models with weak multi-fractality, we performed a statistical analysis of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions obtained by direct diagonalization of large matrices drawn from the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (14) with $\beta = 1$. The results are summarized in Figs. 6 and 7.

In Fig. 6 we demonstrate the scaling (6) in the two main scaling regimes (8) and (13) for the case of strong multifractality b = 0.1. Both the multifractal regime of Chalker and the Lévy flights proportional to t/r^2 can be seen clearly and the scaling (6) is confirmed by a collapse of curves for several values of the distances r to the single scaling curve. The scaling (6) is violated at very small $t < \rho_0$ where



FIG. 6. (Color online) Scaling function $S = r\hat{D}_{r',r'+r}(t)$ averaged over r' for different fixed r = 100,200,500,1000 for the long-range critical random matrix ensemble (14) with $\beta = 1, b = 0.1$, and matrix size $N = 10^4$. The curves for the multifractal regime (8) and for the Lévy flights (13) collapse to the single scaling curve. The perturbative Lévy flights (52) at the smallest t do not obey the scaling.

inhomogeneity of the spectrum (finite-size effects in the energy space) should be taken into account and Eq. (52) stands for Eq. (13). Another possible source of violation of scaling is the finite-size effects in the coordinate space that appear as the corrections in the parameter $r^d/N \ll 1$ and are important at not very small r^d/N .

In Fig. 7 we show the critical density correlation function for weak multifractality in the case b > 1. Besides the scaling and Chalker's ansatz for the power-law behavior (8) in the multifractal region, the focus of our study was the power-law



FIG. 7. (Color online) Scaling function $S = r\hat{D}_{r',r'+r}(t)$ averaged over r' for different fixed r for the long-range critical random matrix ensemble (14) with $\beta = 1$, b = 5, and matrix size $N = 10^4$. In the main plot r = 200,500,1000. The region of Lévy flights with scaling (13) shrinks compared to the case of small b = 0.1. The region of perturbative Lévy flights (52) increases with decreasing r. The inset shows the same scaling function for small r = 5,10,20 and larger values of t/r. The multifractal regime (8) can be seen clearly and it crosses over directly to the perturbative Lévy flights (52) at small t/r.

tail at small t/r that describes the Lévy flights. It can be seen that the region of the scaling Lévy flights (13) is much more narrow for b > 1 compared to the case of small b and is shrinking as r decreases. However, the exponent p of the power law in the scaling function $S(x) \propto x^{-p}$ ($x \gg 1$) stays constant p = 1 and independent of the strength of multifractality, i.e., of the parameter b. This result is not obvious for large b where the arguments behind the derivation of Eq. (51) no longer apply. It was also verified analytically by considering the next order in the virial expansion [25]. In the following section we will consider the classical analog of such a behavior.

X. RANDOM WALKS OVER A HIERARCHICAL MANIFOLD WITH A LEVEL-DEPENDENT ASYMMETRIC RATE

In Ref. [18] Wegner and Grossmann suggested a classical random walk process on a hierarchical structure that is rich enough to mimic many relevant regimes in transport in disordered systems and in fluid turbulence. Its rigorous definition is given in the original work. Here we illustrate this process for the 2D Sierpinski gasket (see Fig. 8). As any regular fractal, the Sierpinski gasket is characterized by the hierarchy of self-similar clusters, each containing z clusters of the next generation (level). For the Sierpinski gasket of Fig. 8, z = 3. Another important parameter of the fractal geometry is the space scaling factor $\mu > 1$, which is the ratio of linear sizes of the clusters of the *k*th and the (k + 1)th levels. For the Sierpinski gasket of Fig. 8, $\mu = 2$. As in the original work [18], we assume that there is a smallest level k = 0 with the size of triangle equal to 1. Then the size of the triangle of the largest level k = l is $L = \mu^{l}$ and there are z^{l} white triangles of the smallest level in it. The parameters z and μ determine the Hausdorff fractal dimension, i.e., the exponent d_h that governs the scaling $S \propto L^{d_h}$ of the total area of white triangles as the size of the largest triangle L increases. Given that $S \propto z^l$, one



FIG. 8. (Color online) Example of a 2D hierarchical manifold: Jumps occur only between the full (colored) triangles of the neighboring generations (levels) shown by different colors. There are no jumps between triangles of the same level (size) or jumps between triangles that are not directly touching each other.

immediately finds that

$$d_h = \frac{\ln z}{\ln \mu}.$$
(58)

The Wegner-Grossmann (WG) random walk process can be viewed as jumps over the manifolds of centers of the full (colored) triangles, each of them being determined by two numbers (k,i), where k is the level of the triangle and i enumerates in a certain way (see Fig. 8) all the z^{k-l} triangles of the given level k. An important rule is that a jump may occur only by one level up and by one level down. The up jump may occur from each of z smaller full triangles of the (k - 1)th level to the single larger triangle of the kth level, whose smaller triangles touch directly. It happens with the rate

$$W_k^{(\text{up})} = s^k. \tag{59}$$

The opposite process of the down jump is supposed to have a rate

$$W_k^{(\text{down})} = \frac{s^k}{zr}.$$
(60)

The presence of z in the denominator is natural as the down jump happens to one of the z smaller triangles that touch the larger triangle. The crucial invention of Wegner and Grossmann is the rate asymmetry parameter r. It is this parameter that makes the up jumps (down jumps) a predominant process if r > 1 (< 1).

The rate scaling parameter *s* is natural on every hierarchical structure of the type in Fig. 8 in order to compensate for the increasing length of jumps as the level increases. The normal case is when s < 1. In this case the Lévy flights cannot occur. In contrast, if s > 1 and r = 1, all the moments $\langle x^m \rangle$ (m > 0) of random walk displacement are divergent at all times. This is a somewhat flawed model.

The presence of the rate asymmetry parameter allows one to reach a new regime (regime C in Ref. [19]) at r < 1 where s > 1 but rs < 1. It is exactly the regime where the density correlation function behaves similarly to that in the quantum case of the random critical long-range Hamiltonians of Eq. (12). Note that the case r < 1 and s = 1 would result in trapping of a random walker on a fractal with no restriction to the level number from below. Indeed, in this case the bias towards down jumps would drive the walker to lower and lower levels of hierarchy without a considerable displacement in the space. It is not the case in the WG model where the minimal level of hierarchy does exist, so after reaching it, the walker must go to the higher level with certainty and thereby may escape to arbitrary large distance. Thus the coarse-grained WG model never leads to localization, but only to subdiffusion:

$$\langle x^2 \rangle \propto t^{\Theta} \equiv t^{2/d_w} \quad (\Theta < 1).$$
 (61)

In Eq. (61) we introduced the walk dimension d_w , which is essentially the dynamical exponent that determines the relative scaling of space and time. In the WG model with

$$s > 1, \quad sr < 1, \tag{62}$$

it is given by [19]

$$d_w = \frac{\ln(sr)^{-1}}{\ln\mu} \tag{63}$$

and leads to a subdiffusion $\Theta < 1$ if $(sr)^{-1} > \mu^2$.

The definition (61) is similar to the celebrated critical subdiffusion $\langle x \rangle^2 \propto t^{2/d}$, which is well known from the scaling theory of Anderson localization [16]. This suggests that d_w in Eq. (61) has the same meaning as the dimensionality of space in the quantum critical models. Now we show that the WG random walk with the parameters *s* and *r* obeying Eq. (62) reproduces all the regimes shown in Fig. 1.

First of all, we note that, according to Table 3 of Ref. [19], the moments $\langle |x|^m \rangle$ are divergent if $\mu^m rs > 1$, which requires $m > d_w$ at rs < 1 < s; here d_w is given by Eq. (63) and x is the x coordinate of the displacement vector $\mathbf{R} = \{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{d-1}\}$ in the *d*-dimensional space in which the fractal is embedded. This suggests that the probability of finding a walker at in an interval (x, x + dx) at a time t is proportional to $|x|^{-(d_w+1)}dx$.

Switching to the radial-angle variables, we find that the probability of finding the walker at a distance interval $\{R, R + dR\}$ within the solid angle interval $d\Omega$ is

$$P(R,t) \propto R^{-d_w - 1} R^{-d + 1} R^{d - 1} dR d\Omega$$
$$\propto R^{-d} R^{-d_w} d^d \mathbf{R}, \quad R \to \infty.$$
(64)

In order to find the coefficient of proportionality in Eq. (64) we use Eq. (4.30) of Ref. [19]. Then we obtain

$$R^{d} P(R,t) \equiv S(R,t) \approx q_{l}^{(l)}(t) = 1 - e^{-\kappa (rs)^{l}t}.$$
 (65)

Here $\kappa = (1 - r)(1 - rs)$ and $l \equiv l(R) = \ln R / \ln \mu$ is the displacement in the ultrametric space of levels expressed in terms of the radial displacement in the real space. At large enough *R* and *sr* < 1 the exponent can be expanded and we obtain

$$R^{-d}P(R,t) \equiv S(R^{d_w}/t) = \kappa t R^{-\ln(rs)^{-1}/\ln\mu} = \kappa t R^{-d_w}.$$
 (66)

We see that, in full agreement with the meaning of d_w as an exponent of dynamical scaling, R^{-d_w} enters the function S(R,t) in the scale-invariant combination R^{d_w}/t . Thus Eq. (66), which describes the Levy flights for the classical WG random walk, is isomorphic to Eq. (13) for the density correlation function in the quantum problem of random critical long-range Hamiltonians provided that

$$d_w \to d.$$
 (67)

Now consider small distances $R^{d_w} \ll t$. At such distances Eq. (66) no longer applies. In order to find the density correlation function in this regime we apply the scaling $S(R,t) = S(R^{d_w}/t)$ and the coarse graining. The latter implies that the return probability P(t) is proportional to S(1,t) = S(1/t). So the time dependence of the return probability allows us (via scaling) to find the entire function

$$S(R,t) = S(R^{d_w}/t) \propto P(t/R^{d_w}).$$
(68)

The return probability in the WG random walk process[regime Eq. (62)] has been found in Ref. [20]:

$$P(t) \propto t^{-\nu}, \quad \nu = \frac{\ln z}{\ln(rs)^{-1}} = \frac{d_h}{d_w},$$
 (69)

where d_h and d_w are the Hausdorff and the walk dimensions given by Eqs. (58) and (63). Then using Eqs. (64), (68), and (69), one finds for the density correlation function at

 $R^{d_w} \ll t$

$$R^{-d}S(R,t) \propto R^{-d} \left(\frac{R^{d_w}}{t}\right)^{d_h/d_w}.$$
(70)

This is a classical counterpart of Eq. (8). Quite naturally, the correlation fractal dimension d_2 is replaced by the Hausdorff dimension of the classical fractal

$$d_h \to d_2$$
 (71)

and again the correspondence (67) holds true.

We conclude this section by saying that there is a complete quantum-to-classical analogy in the density correlation function of the WG random walks and the long-range critical random Hamiltonians.

XI. CONCLUSION

The following are the main results of this paper.

(i) We have identified two regions with qualitatively different behavior of the density correlation function of long-range critical Hamiltonians (12): the multifractal region where the power-law behavior (8) predicted by Chalker [13] is valid and the Lévy flights region with the power-law behavior (13). Both types of behavior were studied analytically within the virial expansion method and by a direct numerical diagonalization of large matrices for the critical random-matrix model (14).

(ii) It appears that for strong multifractality $[b \ll 1]$ in Eq. (14)] there is a complete analogy of the density correlation function in the quantum problem due to emergent fractality of random critical wave functions and in the classical random walks on hierarchical structures due to their geometrical fractality. In both cases, one can find two independent critical exponents in the density correlation function: Classical random walks on fractals can be described by the Hausdorff dimension d_h and the walk dimension d_w (or the spectral dimension $d_s = 2d_h/d_w$). Two corresponding critical exponents of the quantum problem are the correlation multifractal dimension d_2 and the dimensionality of space d.

(iii) It is remarkable that only the latter determines the power-law large-distance tail (quantum Levy flights) in the scaling function $S(t \ll r^d) \propto t/r^d$ [Eqs. (6) and (13)]. The exponent -d is independent of b [and hence independent of $d_2(b)$] at all values of the parameter b in Eq. (14). By comparing Eqs. (13) and (66), we find that the scaling variable $x = r^d/t$ (quantum critical problem) or $x = r^{d_w}/t$ (classical random walks) enters in the Levy flights power-law tail in both cases as x^{-1} with the universal exponent -1, which is independent of the correlation dimension d_2 (quantum critical problem) or of the Hausdorff dimension d_h (classical random walks). We believe that this universality has a deep physical origin.

The application of the above results to the critical point of the Anderson localization transition is a subtle issue due to the absence of an exact solution to the Anderson localization problem and due to the limited sizes of 3D systems amendable to direct numerical diagonalization. Yet it is rather well established that the multifractal behavior of the density correlation function (8) is present at the Anderson transition point in 3D systems. In Fig. 9 we present the results of a direct numerical diagonalization of the 3D Anderson model at



FIG. 9. (Color online) Scaling function $S = r^3 \hat{D}_{r,0}(t)$ vs scaling variable t/r^3 for the 3D Anderson localization model (AM) with the critical disorder W = 16.5 and the nearest-neighbor hopping integral V = 1. The plots for three different values of r, $r = 3^{1/2}$, $2 \times 3^{1/2}$, and $3 \times 3^{1/2}$, and the corresponding three sample sizes $L = 10, 20, \text{ and } 30 \text{ collapse (at } t > t_{\text{max}})$ in the single scaling curve with the multifractal power-law behavior $(r^3/t)^{d_2/3}$, where $d_2 \approx 1.3$ is the correlation fractal dimension. The dot-dashed line represents the corresponding power law. At $t < t_{max}$ there is a significant divergence of curves for different r. Given that the ratio $r/L \approx 0.17$ is constant for all the curves, this divergence can only be explained by a significant role played by a microscopic length ℓ_0 (see Ref. [12]) and the corresponding dimensionless combination $(L_t/\ell_0)^3 = tE_0 \sim tV = t$. Note that at the maximum of the scaling curve $t = t_{max} = 1.5$, 12, and 40 for the three values of r indicated above. For the same values of r, the smallest value of the scaling variable shown on the plot corresponds to t = 0.25, 2.0, and 6.8. The macroscopic limit $L_t/\ell_0 \gg 1$ implies $t \gg 1$. Only in this limit is a collapse of the curves expected. This condition is obviously violated for the curve with the smallest $r = 3^{1/2}$ at $t < t_{max}$.

critical disorder W = 16.5 and the nearest-neighbor hopping V = 1. The density correlation function $\hat{D}_{r,0}(t)$ is computed for three different values of r and the corresponding three different system sizes such that $r/L \approx 0.17 = \text{const.}$ Thus, geometrically, the systems are macroscopically similar and the finite-size corrections of the type r/L, though appreciable, are the same for all three cases. One can see that for $t > t_{\text{max}}$ (t_{max} corresponds to the maximum of the scaling curve)

all three curves for $r^3\hat{D}_{r,0}(t)$ collapse to the single scaling curve $S(r^3/t) \sim (r^3/t)^{d_2}$ with rather good accuracy. In this region they exhibit the power-law behavior that is represented by Eq. (8), albeit with the power slightly modified by the finite-size r/L effects.

The situation with the tail at $r^3 \gg t$ is much worse. The curves for different r diverge significantly as the scaling variable t/r^3 gets smaller. There is only one reason for such a behavior: It is the finite-size effects of the type ℓ_0/L_t , where $\ell_0 \sim (\rho_0 V)^{-1/3}$ is the microscopic length, which has been introduced in Ref. [12], and $L_t^3 = t/\rho_0$. Here $\rho_0 \sim (a^3 V W)^{-1}$ is the density of states, thus $\ell_0 \sim a W^{1/3}$ is of the order of the lattice constant a. The parameter that controls the smallness of such finite-size effects is $(\ell_0/L_t)^3 \sim (tV)^{-1} =$ 1/t. Obviously, at small t this parameter is no longer small and one cannot expect a scaling behavior in the region $t \ll 1$. In contrast, $t_{\rm max} \approx 0.3r^3$. So it is only at large r that one may observe a scaling behavior in a sufficiently wide interval $1 \ll t < t_{\text{max}}$. We conclude that in order to meet the requirement $1 \ll t < t_{max}$ and simultaneously to exclude the finite-size r/L effects one should study really large 3D systems with $L \sim 100$, which is numerically a very hard problem.

In this situation we can only guess what the form of the scaling function $S(r^3/t)$ is at $t < t_{max}$ at the Anderson transition point of 3D systems. The most natural assumption is that it is exponentially small, and thus the Levy flights are absent, due to the short-range nature of the Anderson Hamiltonian. A counterscenario in which the Levy flights may be present is offered [27] by the observation of the explosion of high-gradient operators in the linear supersymmetric σ model in $2 + \epsilon$ dimensions. Unfortunately, this long-standing controversy remains unresolved.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

O.M.Y. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Grant No. SFB TR-12, the Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics in Munich, and the Nanosystems Initiative Munich Cluster of Excellence and thanks the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, where a part of this project has been done. V.E.K. and E.C. acknowledge support from the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional and the Spanish Dirección General de Investigación through Project No. FIS2010-16430.

- [1] F. Wegner, Z. Phys. B 36, 209 (1980).
- [2] F. Evers and A. D. Mirlin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1355 (2008).
- [3] A. Richardella, P. Roushan, S. Mack, B. Zhou, D. A. Huse, D. D. Awschalom, and A. Yazdani, Science 327, 665 (2010).
- [4] S. Faez, A. Strybulevych, J. H. Page, A. Lagendijk, and B. A. van Tiggelen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 155703 (2009).
- [5] G. Lemarie, H. Lignier, D. Delande, P. Szriftgiser, and J. C. Garreau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 090601 (2010).
- [6] M. V. Feigel'man, L. B. Ioffe, V. E. Kratsov, and E. A. Yuzbashyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 027001 (2007); M. V.

Feigel'man, L. B. Ioffe, V. E. Kravtsov, and E. Cuevas, Ann. Phys. **325**, 1390 (2010); V. E. Kravtsov, arXiv:1109.2515; I. S. Burmistrov, I. V. Gornyi, and A. D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 017002 (2012).

- [7] S. Kettemann, E. R. Mucciolo, and I. Varga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 126401 (2009).
- [8] A. D. Mirlin, Y. V. Fyodorov, F.-M. Dittes, J. Quezada, and T. H. Seligman, Phys. Rev. E 54, 3221 (1996).
- [9] V. E. Kravtsov and K. A. Muttalib, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1913 (1997).

- [10] L. S. Levitov and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rep. 288, 487 (1997).
- [11] I. L. Aleiner, B. L. Altshuler, and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 076401 (2011).
- [12] E. Cuevas and V. E. Kravtsov, Phys. Rev. B 76, 235119 (2007).
- [13] J. T. Chalker, Physica A 167, 253 (1990).
- [14] V. E. Kravtsov, A. Ossipov, O. M. Yevtushenko, and E. Cuevas, Phys. Rev. B 82, 161102(R) (2010); V. E. Kravtsov, A. Ossipov, and O. M. Yevtushenko, J. Phys. A 44, 305003 (2011).
- [15] J. T. Chalker and G. J. Daniell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 593 (1988).
- [16] E. Abrahams, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 673 (1979).
- [17] B. D. Hughes, M. F. Shlesinger, and E. W. Montroll, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78, 3287 (1981).
- [18] F. Wegner and S. Grossmann, Z. Phys. B 59, 197 (1985).
- [19] K. H. Hoffmann, S. Grossmann, and F. Wegner, Z. Phys. B 60, 401 (1985).
- [20] A. Erzan, S. Grossmann, and A. Hernandez-Machado, J. Phys. A 20, 3913 (1987).

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 86, 021136 (2012)

- [21] L. S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 547 (1990); A. D. Mirlin and F. Evers, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7920 (2000); O. Yevtushenko and V. E. Kravtsov, J. Phys. A 30, 8265 (2003).
- [22] O. Yevtushenko and A. Ossipov, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40, 4691 (2007); S. Kronmüller, O. M. Yevtushenko, and E. Cuevas, *ibid.* 43, 075001 (2010).
- [23] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).
- [24] O. Yevtushenko and V. E. Kravtsov, Phys. Rev. E 69, 026104 (2004).
- [25] Preliminary results for calculation of subleading terms of the virial expansion are presented in P. Snajberk, Master's thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, 2012; more details will be published in: O. M. Yevtushenko and V. E. Kravtsov (unpublished).
- [26] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices (Academic, New York, 1991).
- [27] B. L. Altshuler, V. E. Kravtsov, and I. V. Lerner, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 43 342 (1986) [JETP Lett. 43, 441 (1986)];
 V. E. Kravtsov, I. V. Lerner, and V. I. Yudson, Phys. Lett. A 134, 245 (1989).