

SoSe 2007 6/1/07

**Prof. Dr. E. Frey**  
Dr. T. Franosch

Lehrstuhl für Statistische Physik  
Biologische Physik & Weiche Materie  
Arnold-Sommerfeld-Zentrum für Theoretische Physik  
Department für Physik

Ludwig  
Maximilians  
Universität



## **T II: Elektrodynamik** (Prof. E. Frey)

### **Problem set 7**

#### **Tutorial 7.1** *Wine cellar*

A good wine cellar should be isolated from atmospheric temperature fluctuations and therefore is often located in a cave. Temperature gradients generate a heat flux according to Fourier's law,

$$\vec{j}(\vec{x}, t) = -\kappa \vec{\nabla} T(\vec{x}, t),$$

where  $\kappa$  denotes the heat conductivity of the rock.

- a) Relying on energy conservation, derive the heat diffusion equation

$$\partial_t T(\vec{x}, t) = D \nabla^2 T(\vec{x}, t)$$

with the thermal diffusion coefficient  $D = \kappa/\rho c$ . The energy density is given by  $u(\vec{x}, t) = \rho c T(\vec{x}, t)$  with mass density  $\rho$  and specific heat  $c$  of the rock.

- b) For simplicity, restrict to the one-dimensional problem across a homogeneous layer of rock, with the atmosphere/rock interface at  $x = 0$ . Find the steady-state solution of the diffusion equation for a harmonic temperature oscillation of the atmosphere, i.e., boundary conditions

$$T(x = 0, t) = T_0 + \Delta T \cos(\omega t).$$

Give a sketch of the solution and discuss its features.

*Hint:* Use the Ansatz  $T(x, t) = T_0 + \text{Re}[\vartheta(x) e^{-i\omega t}]$  with a time-dependent part that follows the external stimulus with a phase shift, i.e., the amplitude  $\vartheta(x)$  is complex in general.

#### **Tutorial 7.2** *Uncertainty relation*

An important consequence of the Fourier transform is the uncertainty relation which states for the widths of a general wave packet that

$$\Delta x \Delta k \geq \frac{1}{2};$$

here the squared width  $\Delta x^2$  of a wave packet  $\Phi(x)$  centered at  $x_c$  and the squared width  $\Delta k^2$  of its Fourier transform are defined as

$$(\Delta x)^2 = \int dx (x - x_c)^2 |\Phi(x)|^2 / \int dx |\Phi(x)|^2$$

and

$$(\Delta k)^2 = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} (k - k_c)^2 |\hat{\Phi}(k)|^2 / \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} |\hat{\Phi}(k)|^2.$$

Show that a Gaussian wave packet has minimal uncertainty, i.e.  $\Delta x \Delta k = 1/2$ , and it is the only wave form with this property.

*Note:* The proof of the uncertainty relation is based on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\|f\| \cdot \|g\| \geq |(f, g)|$$

for vectors  $f, g$  from a Hilbert space with scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and derived norm  $\|f\| = (f, f)^{1/2}$ . Equality holds if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  are linearly dependent.

### Tutorial 7.3 Gaussian wave packet

Consider a light pulse propagating in a dispersive medium. The wave numbers of the pulse are concentrated around a value  $k_c$  according to a Gaussian distribution. Then the electric field of the pulse is given by its Fourier representation

$$E(x, t) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ikx - i\omega(k)t} \exp\left[-\frac{(k - k_c)^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right].$$

In the vicinity of  $k_c$ , the dispersion relation of the medium may be expanded in a Taylor series,

$$\omega(k) = \omega_c + (k - k_c) v_g - \frac{1}{2}(k - k_c)^2 \beta,$$

with coefficients  $\omega_c = \omega(k_c)$ ,  $v_g = \omega'(k_c)$ , and  $\beta = -\omega''(k_c)$ . Calculate the intensity  $I(t) \propto |E(x, t)|^2$  and interpret the result.

### Problem 7.4 Reflection of an electromagnetic wave at a conducting mirror

A plane polarized electromagnetic wave of frequency  $\omega$  in free space is incident normally on the flat surface of a nonpermeable medium of conductivity  $\sigma \geq 0$  and a constant background susceptibility  $\chi_m > 0$ .

- a) First consider the medium. Show that for harmonically time-varying fields,  $\vec{E}(t) = \text{Re } \vec{E}_\omega e^{-i\omega t}$  etc., the polarization  $\vec{P} = \chi_m \vec{E}$  and the current density  $\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E}$  in Ampère's equation can be eliminated in favor of a complex dielectric permittivity,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}_\omega = \frac{-i\omega}{c} \varepsilon(\omega) \vec{E}_\omega \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_m + \frac{4\pi i \sigma}{\omega}, \quad \varepsilon_m = 1 + 4\pi \chi_m.$$

- b) The incident wave is partially reflected and absorbed by the medium. Choosing the  $z$ -axis perpendicularly to the flat surface, a suitable Ansatz for the electric field is given by

$$E_\omega(z) = E_i \begin{cases} e^{ikz} + r e^{-ikz} & \text{for } z < 0 \text{ (empty space),} \\ t e^{iqz} e^{-\kappa z} & \text{for } z > 0 \text{ (medium).} \end{cases}$$

Determine the wave numbers  $q, k$  as well as the decay rate  $\kappa$  by solving the corresponding wave equations.

- c) Formulate appropriate matching conditions for the electromagnetic fields at the interface ( $z = 0$ ) and determine the *reflection amplitude*  $r$  and the *transmission amplitude*  $t$ . Calculate the reflection coefficient  $R = |r|^2$  and the transmission coefficient  $T = 1 - R$ .
- d) Evaluate the time averaged Poynting vector

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{c}{4\pi} \vec{E}_\omega \times \vec{H}_\omega^* \right).$$

in both half spaces and interpret your result.

- e) Specialize your results for the case of good conductor  $\sigma \gg \omega\epsilon_m$ , i.e.,  $\epsilon_m$  can be neglected, and discuss the decay rate  $\kappa$  and the reflection coefficient  $R$ . Argue that the displacement current is small compared the current density  $\vec{j}$  in this case and show that the electromagnetic fields in the medium fulfill diffusion equations rather than wave equations.
- f) In the opposite limit of a poor conductor,  $\sigma \ll \omega\epsilon_m$ , the decay rate becomes large to the wavelength of the incident wave. Determine the absorption length  $\kappa^{-1}$  and the reflection coefficient  $R$  in this case.

*Hints:* The parts a–d can be solved independently of each other. The calculation of b) may be done for general complex  $\epsilon(\omega)$ ; the results of c) should be expressed in terms of  $q$ ,  $k$  and  $\kappa$ , the Poynting vector in d) in terms of  $R$  and  $\kappa$ ; part e) is similar to the problem of the wine cellar and was discussed in parts in the lecture.

### Problem 7.5 Paraxial beams

Consider a monochromatic beam of angular frequency  $\omega = kc$  propagating essentially along the positive  $z$ -direction.

- a) Argue that the components of the electric field allow for a representation as

$$E(\vec{x}_\perp, z; t) = e^{-i\omega t} \int \frac{d^2\vec{k}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} a(\vec{k}_\perp) \exp(i\vec{k}_\perp \vec{x}_\perp + ik_\parallel z),$$

where  $k_\parallel = (k^2 - \vec{k}_\perp^2)^{1/2}$  is to be eliminated in favor of  $\vec{k}_\perp$ .

- b) The complex amplitudes  $a(\vec{k}_\perp)$  are assumed to contribute only for  $|\vec{k}_\perp| \ll k$ . Expanding the square root,  $k_\parallel \simeq k - \vec{k}_\perp^2/2k$  to leading order in  $k_\perp/k$ , show that the field assumes the following form

$$E(\vec{x}_\perp, z; t) = e^{ikz - i\omega t} \mathcal{E}(\vec{x}_\perp, z),$$

where the *envelope function*  $\mathcal{E}$  is slowly varying along  $z$  on the scale of a wavelength,  $\partial_z \mathcal{E} \ll k\mathcal{E}$ . Relate the envelope to the amplitudes  $a(\vec{k}_\perp)$ . Show that the envelope satisfies a field equation of the Schrödinger type,

$$i\partial_z \mathcal{E}(\vec{x}_\perp, z) = -\frac{1}{2k} \nabla_\perp^2 \mathcal{E}(\vec{x}_\perp, z).$$

In particular, the field equation is first order in the  $z$ -direction.

- c) Evaluate the electric field  $E(\vec{x}_\perp, z; t)$  for a Gaussian amplitude function

$$a(\vec{k}_\perp) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}w_0^2\vec{k}_\perp^2\right), \quad w_0 > 0,$$

and show that the intensity  $I \propto |E|^2$  exhibits a Gaussian profile in the perpendicular direction  $\vec{x}_\perp$  and a width that depends on  $z$ . Where is the width minimal?

*Due date: Tuesday, 6/12/2007, at 9 a.m.*